# Invited Review

# Protein Prenylation: An (Almost) Comprehensive Overview on Discovery History, Enzymology, and Significance in Physiology and Disease

# Wolfgang Benetka<sup>\*</sup>, Manfred Koranda, and Frank Eisenhaber<sup>\*</sup>

Research Institute of Molecular Pathology (IMP), Vienna, Austria

Received May 17, 2006; accepted June 2, 2006 Published online September 25, 2006 © Springer-Verlag 2006

Summary. Since 1979, when prenylation has been first discovered as chemical oddity of a yeast mating factor, the two forms of this posttranslational modification of proteins (farnesylation and geranylgeranylation) have been found as wide spread among proteins from Eukarya and their viruses. This review attempts to summarize as comprehensively as possible the enzymological processes of prenylation and the various aspects of their biological significance.

The substrate proteins of prenyltransferases are known to carry a sequence signal composed of a cysteine-containing 4–5 residue stretch at the utmost C-terminal end that is N-terminally preceded by a flexible and polar linker region of ca. 10 residues. Postprenylation processing of substrate proteins can involve C-terminal proteolysis, C-terminal carboxyl methylation, and other steps of maturation. The prenyl anchor functions as module for membrane attachment or for protein–protein interaction.

Prenyl anchor carrying proteins fulfill a large array of functions in signaling and regulation of cellular processes. Therefore, they are involved in the pathogenesis of a variety of human diseases, the most prominent one being cancer. Farnesyltransferase inhibitors show surprisingly high efficiency in controlling tumor growth in model systems but, so far, clinical trials with human patients have remained without the desired success. Interference into prenylation pathways appears also a promising treatment principle in a variety of parasitic diseases.

Keywords. Farnesyl; Geranylgeranyl; Prenyl; Farnesyltransferase inhibitor; Cancer; Parasitic disease.

# **Introduction**

The key to the ability of proteins to perform all the functions necessary in cell life is provided by their amino acid sequence. Linear combinations of the 20 amino

Corresponding authors. E-mails: benetka@imp.univie.ac.at, Frank.Eisenhaber@imp.univie.ac.at

acids create an apparently endless range of functionalities. But at least as important as providing all these features is the task to regulate them properly. Cells of multicellular organisms have to react to extracellular signals to assure coordinated action of different tissues. Protein activity has to be regulated either up or down, and it has to be restricted to the appropriate locations and points in time. Regulation on the level of gene expression and of protein degradation permits only slow responses. For a faster way of control, it is necessary to switch enzymes on and off, often accomplished by reversible posttranslational modifications such as phosphorylation. For both types of regulation, the extracellular signal has to reach its destination first. How is this managed?

# The Impact of Posttranslational Modifications on Protein Function

Receptors at the plasma membrane bind signaling molecules like, e.g., hormones or growth factors. They transmit the signals to intracellular proteins through conformational changes as well as posttranslational modifications. The signals are relayed through multiple stations, following cascades of proteins activating or inhibiting the next one, until they reach the nucleus, where gene expression is altered, or they end up regulating the activity of target proteins directly. These signaling pathways are often connected to each other, forming a network of signaling cascades. Some proteins are part of multiple pathways and operate as key regulators of important cellular functions such as cell proliferation and differentiation, cell shape and mobility, and many more. Defects in cell signaling exclude a cell from communication and can lead to uncontrolled cell growth. Cancer is the most prominent disease with malfunction of cell signaling.

Another important topic is protein trafficking and localization. Of course, there are localization signals in the amino acid sequence of proteins determining the compartment they are transported to, but this is by far not the only way. Glycosylation provides an additional level of control in protein sorting via the endoplasmatic reticulum (ER) and the Golgi, as the polysaccharides become modified in certain trafficking steps. But glycosyl-modifications also act as specific signals for recognition by receptors, and they can stabilize the structure of a protein. Yet another problem arises with proteins which change their localization multiple times in their lives. Some proteins have to associate reversibly with membranes, especially those participating in the signaling pathways mentioned above, making protein localization an important feature in the search for new anti-cancer therapies. Membrane association is often accomplished by lipid modifications like palmitoylation [1, 2] or myristoylation [3–8]. Attachment of glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) lipid anchors binds proteins to membranes, too [9–14]. The lipid modification which has been discovered last is prenylation, the attachment of an isoprenoid group to a cysteine residue at or near the carboxyl-terminus [15]. Prenylation is believed to occur in 0.5% of all cellular proteins in eukaryotes [16]. But since the first discovery of a prenylated protein, it has been a long way to understand the function of prenylation and make use of it to fight cancer, and today there is still a long way to go.

#### Prenylation: How It All Began

The first evidence for prenylation of a carboxyterminal cysteine residue was discovered in 1979 in Japan for Rhodotorucine A, a mating factor peptide of the yeast Rhodosporidium toruloides [17]. In the mature form, an S-farnesylcysteine was found at the carboxy-terminus. In the following five years, further fungal peptidyl sex hormones covalently modified by a farnesyl group on a C-terminal cysteine were revealed. The function of this modification was unclear, but it was stoichiometric and stable, indicating that it is an important component for the function of the mating factors. The independent discovery of prenylation in mammalian cells in 1984 resulted from investigations concerning the effect of compactin, an inhibitor of cholesterol biosynthesis. Compactin blocks the enzyme 3-hydroxy-3 methylglutaryl-CoA-reductase (HMG-CoA reductase), responsible for the synthesis of mevalonic acid, a crucial intermediate of the isoprenoid pathway. Cultured cells treated with compactin showed cell cycle arrest [18] and altered cell morphology [19]. The fact that these effects could be reversed by supplying the cells with mevalonate, but not with cholesterol, dolichol, ubiquinone, or isopentenyladenine, the major products of isoprenoid biosynthesis, revealed a critical function in cell cycling and shape for one or more non-sterol isoprenoids [19]. Following the fate of radiolabeled mevalonate in cultured 3T3 fibroplasts with their mevalonate synthesis blocked by lovastatin, covalent incorporation of an isoprenoid derivative into cellular proteins was observed [20]. Further investigations showed isoprenylation to be a phenomenon widespread in mammalian cells [21], with proteins in different compartments like the nuclear envelope, the plasma membrane, and the cytosol being involved [22–24]. In 1986, a new discovery connected the previous data: a gene of the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae required for posttranslational modification of both the RAS proteins and a-mating factor called RAM was found [25]. Comparison of the amino acid sequences of the yeast RAS proteins and the precursor polypeptide for a-factor displayed no obvious sequence similarity except for the C-terminus, consisting of a cysteine followed by two residues with aliphatic sidechains and an utmost C-terminal amino acid residue. This so called CaaXmotif was found in a variety of other proteins as well, but was believed to signal modification by a palmitoyl group at that time. In 1985, new eukaryotic protein carboxyl methylation reactions were discovered including modification of the  $\alpha$ -subunit of *cGMP* phosphodiesterase [26] and nuclear lamin B [27]. These reactions did not fit in any known type of such an activity. The combined findings of the aforementioned proteins containing a CaaX-motif and some fungal mating factors being carboxymethylated at the C-terminus led to the hypothesis that the CaaX sequence signal linked prenylation, proteolytic cleavage, and methylation [28, 29]. This assumption was supported in 1988 by the discovery that the yeast a-factor contains a C-terminal farnesylcysteine methyl ester [30, 31] and, in 1989, by the ascertainment that all mammalian Ras proteins are isoprenylated on the conserved cysteine residue [32]. The palmitoylation, which was formerly believed to modify this amino acid [33], could be assigned to upstream cysteine residues in a subset of Ras proteins. At the same time, the first isoprenylated protein identified turned out to be lamin B [34–36]. The major isoprenoids bound to mammalian protein were identified as *trans*, *trans*-farnesyl and all-*trans*-geranylgeranyl in 1990 [37–39],

followed by the finding that nuclear lamin B, mammalian Ras, and yeast RAS are farnesylated [40, 41], while a  $\gamma$ -subunit of a mammalian heterotrimeric G-protein was shown to be geranylgeranylated [42–44]. The discovery, that Ras farnesylation is required for the ability of oncogenic forms to transform cells [45–47], boosted the efforts in this field of research [48, 49], leading to the characterization of the farnesyltransferase enzyme and development of specific inhibitors of protein prenylation for the use in cancer therapy [50].

# Definition

After recapitulating the first steps in the research on prenylation, let us take a closer look on the subject of interest. What exactly is meant when talking about prenylation? Prenylation is the covalent attachment of one or more isoprenoids



Fig. 1. Pathway for isoprenoid biosynthesis in mammalian cells; the pathway presentation is inspired by the respective figures in Ref. [22]

to cysteine residues at or near the carboxy-terminus of a protein. It occurs in all eukaryotes and possibly also in archaebacteria [16]. The isoprenoid can be a farnesyl-group built of three isoprene entities or a geranylgeranyl-group built of four units. Although the first prenylated proteins discovered were farnesylated, geranylgeranylation appears to be the predominant modification [16]. The isoprenoids are synthesized as farnesyl (FPP) or geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate (GGPP), an activated form which is then cleaved to link them to the sulfur atom of the cysteine via a thioether bond. But how are these isoprenoids built in the cell?

#### The Isoprenoid Pathway

The isoprenoid pathway (also called mevalonate pathway, see Fig. 1) starts from acetyl-CoA, which is condensed in two steps to HMG-CoA. The next step involves the reduction to mevalonic acid by the HMG-CoA reductase, which can be inhibited by statins as mentioned above. Mevalonic acid is then phosphorylated twice by mevalonate kinase and decarboxylated forming isopentenyl pyrophosphate, the building block of all isoprenoids. Then, this is condensed stepwise to build geranyl pyrophosphate (2 units), farnesyl pyrophosphate (3 units), and geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate (4 units). The last two are the substrates for protein prenylation, but there are lots of other important products of this pathway [51]. Condensation of two FPP molecules yields squalene, the basic structure for the sterols, with cholesterol being the main product. Other derivatives of FPP participate in the synthesis of heme-a, dolichyl pyrophosphate, or ubiquinone.

#### Amino Acid Sequence Motifs for Prenylation

With the synthesis of the isoprenoids finished, the cell has to know where to attach the lipid anchors and which anchor type to select. Obviously, this information is somehow encoded in the C-terminal amino acid sequence. Historically, major attention was focused on the identity of a handful of C-terminal residues of the few known substrate proteins and on the results of enzymological tests with short peptides. The results have been summarized in form of motifs (for example, the CaaX motif has been mentioned above already).

However, these simplified recognition models started to blur (i) when it became clear that model peptide results cannot be extrapolated to the situation of whole proteins and (ii) when the number of known prenylated proteins and the knowledge about their C-terminal sequence variability diversified. Major improvement in the understanding of the prenylation signal was gained with crystallographic studies of prenyltransferases [52–55].

If the binding complex consisting of a substrate protein C-terminus and the prenyltransferase is considered, two regions of the sequence signal can be distinguished [56]: Region 1, a stretch of the utmost C-terminal (typically, the last 4) amino acid residues, is buried in the catalytic cleft of the prenyltransferase and experiences the most intense interaction with the enzyme. The amino acid type variability of these residues is most restricted. Region 2, a segment of ca. 10 residues, serves as linker connecting the C-terminal stretch bound by the enzyme with the rest of the substrate protein. These residues are, as a trend, small with a flexible backbone and also polar (to allow interaction with the aqueous surrounding) but not every residue needs to comply with these requirements. It is sufficient if the linker as a whole provides the necessary properties. The more C-terminal residues of the linker interact with the mouth of the catalytic cavity and are, therefore, more restricted in their amino acid type variability [56].

Clearly, the presence of the linker region is necessary for the possibility of prenylation in principle since it provides accessibility to the region 1 residues for the prenyltransferase. But the identity of the handful of utmost C-terminal residues (of region 1 and the residues from region 2 at the mouth of the catalytic cavity) is critical for the recognition by the specific type of prenyltransferase and, consequently, for the type of prenyl anchors that can be attached to the substrate protein.

For example, the  $Ca_{1}a_{2}X$  motif consists of the modified cysteine and three more amino acids. When this motif has been identified, it was believed to contain two aliphatic residues carboxy-terminal of the cysteine, hence it was named CaaX. Today, it is known that there is quite a lot of flexibility for these residues, especially for  $a_1$ . Therefore, it should better be called CXXX-motif. Targets for farnesylation as well as geranylgeranylation contain this motif, and the type of isoprenoid added is largely determined by the last residue [57, 58] with some minor influence of X2. Farnesylation tolerates many C-terminal residues, and takes place with highest efficiency for X being methionine (M, Met), serine (S, Ser), alanine (A, Ala), glutamine (Q, Gln), or cysteine (C, Cys), but there are also examples for farnesylated CXXX with threonine (T, Thr), histidine (H, His), valine (V, Val), asparagine (N, Asn), phenylalanine (F, Phe), glycine (G, Gly), and isoleucine (I, Ile) in the ultimate position. Geranylgeranylation is largely restricted to proteins with leucine (L, Leu) in the terminal position, but also occurs with F, I, V, and M [59, 42]. Mutation of the C-terminal residue of Ras proteins to leucine changes the type of isoprenoid added [60, 42].

These data, combined with the fact that the prenyltransferases which modify CXXX-proteins are able to bind and prenylate short peptides with the adequate sequence (in fact, a CXXX tetrapeptide is sufficient) [61], might imply that all necessary information for prenyl anchor selection is included in those four residues. However, there are some exceptions to these rules. K-Ras4B, with a sequence ending in CVIM is farnesylated normally, but becomes geranylgeranylated under conditions where farnesylation is blocked. This alternative prenylation seems to be enabled by a stretch of basic residues upstream of the CXXX-motif [62]. N-Ras also seems to be capable of alternative geranylgeranylation [63]. RhoB ends with CKVL, but is found both farnesylated and geranylgeranylated in vivo, depending on presence or absence of certain upstream cysteines. These examples demonstrated the influence of the context for the prenylation signal in region 1. This is also supported by the fact that the CXXX-motif of  $G_{i\alpha}$  becomes prenylated when fused to a Ras protein, while it is unmodified in the original context; apparently, the C-terminal tetrapeptide is not sufficiently accessible to the prenyltransferase in  $G_{i\alpha}$ .

Another motif for prenylation is less well defined. C-terminal sequences like CC, CXC, CCX, CCXX, CCXXX, or CXXX can be subjected to geranylgeranylation [64, 65]. If there are two cysteines available, usually both become prenylated [66, 67]. This type of motif is almost exclusively found in the Rab family of small

Protein	Function	Sequence <sup>a</sup>	Prenyl group	Transferaseb
H-Ras	Growth, differentiation	<b>CVLS</b>	15C	<b>FT</b>
K-RasA	Growth, differentiation	<b>CIIM</b>	15C	FT
K-RasB	Growth, differentiation	<b>CVIM</b>	15C	FT
N-Ras	Growth, differentiation	<b>CVVM</b>	15C	FT
$2^{\prime},5^{\prime}$ oligoadenylate	Growth, differentiation,	<b>CTIL</b>	20C	GGT I
synthetase 1	and apoptosis			
Rap1A	Regulation of cell adhesion	<b>CLLL</b>	20C	GGT I
Rap1B	Activation of the <b>MEK-ERK</b> cascade	<b>CQLL</b>	20C	GGT I
Rac1	Secretion at plasma membrane	<b>CLLL</b>	20C	GGT I
RalA	Regulation of actin cytoskeleton	<b>CCIL</b>	20C	GGT I
Cdc42/G25K (brain)	Filopodia formation	CCIF	20C	GGT I
Cdc42/G25K (placenta)	Filopodia formation	<b>CVLL</b>	20C	GGT I
RhoA	Assembly of actin stress fibers and focal adhesion sites	<b>CLVL</b>	20C	GGT I
RhoB	Assembly of actin stress fibers	<b>CKVL</b>	15C	GGT I
	and focal adhesion sites; gene transcription		and 20C	
RhoC	Assembly of actin stress fibers and focal adhesion sites	<b>CPIL</b>	20C	GGT I
Rab1A, 1B, 2	Vesicular trafficking	<b>GGCC</b>	20C	<b>GGT II</b>
Rab <sub>3</sub> a	Vesicular trafficking	<b>DCAC</b>	20C	$\rm GGT$ II
Rab <sub>6</sub>	Vesicular trafficking	<b>GCSC</b>	20C	GGT II
HDJ <sub>2</sub>	Protein import into mitochondria,	<b>CQTS</b>	15C	FT
Inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate- 5-phosphatase II	co-chaperone of Hsp70 Inactivates inositol triphosphate	<b>CPNL</b>	20C	GGT I
Ptp4a1	Protein-tyrosine phosphatase	<b>CCIQ</b>	15C	FT
S. cerevisiae RAS2	Adenylyl cyclase activation	C <sub>II</sub> S	15C	FT
Heterotrimeric	Serpentine receptor linked	<b>CAIL</b>	20C	GGT I
G-protein ( $\gamma$ -subunit)				
S. cerevisiae a-factor	Mating pheromone	<b>CVIA</b>	15C	FT
R. toruloides	Mating pheromone	<b>CTVA</b>	15C	FT
Rhodotorucine A				
Lamin A	Nuclear membrane component	<b>CSIM</b>	15C	FT
Lamin B	Nuclear membrane component	<b>CYVM</b>	15C	FT
Cenp-F	Centromere (kinetochore)	<b>CKVQ</b>	15C	FT
	protein for $G_2/M$ transition			
Phosphorylase kinase, $\alpha$ -subunit	Muscle glycogen metabolism	CAMQ	15C	FT
Phosphorylase kinase, $\beta$ -subunit	Liver glycogen metabolism	<b>CQMQ</b>	15C	FT
Phosphorylase kinase,	Muscle glycogen metabolism	<b>CLIS</b>	15C	FT
$\gamma$ -subunit Transducin ( $\gamma$ -subunit)	Vision	<b>CVIS</b>	15C	FT

Table 1. Selected prenylated proteins [430]

(continued)





<sup>a</sup> Unless noted otherwise, the C-terminal tetrapeptides sequences are from human proteins; <sup>b</sup> FT farnesyltransferase, GGT geranylgeranyltransferase

GTPases. In contrast to the CXXX-motif, short peptides are no substrate for prenylation [68, 69]. In addition, the C-terminal regions do not become prenylated when fused to proteins which normally do not exhibit this type of prenylation signal. The correct polypeptide sequence as well as the right conformation is required for prenylation [70]. Taken together, these results suggest that Rab-type prenylation motifs are only the site of possible geranylgeranylation. But other factors do also influence the recognition by the corresponding prenyltransferase (including protein recognition factors such as the Rab escort protein 1, see Table 1).

It should be noted that the experimental testing of the prenylation status of a protein is a laborious task. The standard literature method for in vitro or in vivo analysis of selected candidates involves transcription/translation of a cloned construct and protein prenylation in the presence of  ${}^{3}$ H-labeled lipid anchor precursors followed by autoradiography/fluorography  $[71–73]$ . Necessary controls involve mutations of the C-terminal cysteine expected to be modified, prenyltransferase inhibitor applications, and/or exposition to precursors of alternative prenyl anchors during the prenylation reaction. However, the reportedly long exposure times (weeks/months) contradict the need for several repetitions of the experiment. Optimization of protein expression and incubation conditions is typically not avoidable. In our own experience, many attempts with the standard technology ended up without reportable result; *i.e.*, the signals in initial experiments were often below the detection limit. Scientific literature research showed that rarely a

lab has studied the prenylation status of more than a single target, apparently as a consequence of the tenacious methodology. A more sensitive protocol has recently been described [74].

With so much experimental information on prenylated proteins, it should be possible to recognize the capability for prenylation from the amino acid sequence of query protein sequences. Indeed, a computerized prediction tool called PrePS (Prenylation Prediction Suite) with high prediction accuracy (with a sensitivity of  $\sim$ 95% for true targets and with only  $\sim$ 5% false-positive rate among nontargets with appropriate cysteines) has recently been released and is available at http://mendel.imp.ac.at/sat/PrePS/ [56]. It is clear now how a cell synthesizes the isoprenoids, and where they do become attached to, but which enzymes actually do the job?

#### Protein Prenyltransferases

In eukaryotes, there are three distinct types of prenyltransferases [68, 75, 76]. Farnesyltransferase (FT) [77] as well as geranylgeranyltransferase type I (GGT I) [57] recognize the C-terminal CXXX-motif, while geranylgeranyltransferase type II (GGT II) [78, 66, 64], also called RabGGT, prenylates C-terminal cysteines in a less defined arrangement such as CC, CXC, or the like. All of them are heterodimeric metalloenzymes [79], with subunits designated  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  [80]. Yeast genetics revealed that FT and GGT I share the same  $\alpha$ -subunit, while their  $\beta$ -subunits are distinct, which is also true for mammalian prenyltransferases [81–83]. The respective  $\beta$ -subunits are homologous to each other as well as the  $\alpha$ -subunits.

The 3D structures of eukaryote prenyltransferases are known from crystal X-ray diffraction. The  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -subunits are almost exclusively composed of



Fig. 2. 3D structure of the eukaryote farnesyltransferase; this figure is based on the co-crystal structure of rat protein farnesyltransferase complexed with a K-ras4B peptide substrate (TKCVFM) and a farnesyl pyrophosphate (*FPP*) analogue at  $2.0 \text{ Å}$  resolution (PDB accession 1D8D) [53]; the  $\alpha$ -subunit is colored off-white; the  $\beta$ -subunit is ochre; the FPP analogue is shown as CPK model; the substrate peptide is yellow; the figure was produced with VMD [428]

 $\alpha$ -helical and loop segments and jointly form the substrate binding sites (see Fig. 2) for FT and Fig. 3 for GGT I). The enzyme GGT II is very similar to the GGT I structure (see Fig. 4), whereas the escort protein belongs to a completely different fold class.



Fig. 3. 3D structure of the eukaryote geranylgeranyltransferase I; this figure is based on the cocrystal structure of rat protein geranylgeranyltransferase I complexed with a peptide substrate (KKKSKTKCVIL) and a geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate ( $GGPP$ ) molecule at 2.60 Å resolution (PDB accession 1N4S) [55]; the  $\alpha$ -subunit is colored off-white; the  $\beta$ -subunit is ochre; the GGPP molecule is shown as CPK model; the substrate peptide is yellow; the figure was produced with VMD [428]



Fig. 4. 3D structure of the eukaryote geranylgeranyltransferase II; this figure is based on the cocrystal structure of rat protein geranylgeranyltransferase II complexed with a peptide model  $(AAAA)$ , an isoprenoid, and the rab escort protein-1 at 2.70 Å resolution (PDB accession 1LTX) [54]; the  $\alpha$ -subunit is colored off-white; the  $\beta$ -subunit is ochre; the rab escort protein-1 is presented in lime; the isoprenoid molecule is shown as CPK model; the substrate peptide is yellow; the figure was produced with VMD [428]

As mentioned above, FT and GGT I recognize short peptides with a CXXX motif, which made it easier to purify these enzymes [84–86] and study their structure with X-ray crystallography, as well as the structure of the enzyme in complex with substrate analogs [87]. Therefore, much more information is available on the mechanism and structure of type I prenyltransferases, especially of FT, than of RabGGT.

The catalytic activity of all prenyltransferases depends on a  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ -ion bound at the active site [88]. High concentrations of  $Mg^{2+}$  are required for maximum activity of FT and GGT II, while conflicting reports are available on the  $Mg^{2+}$ -requirements of GGT I [82, 89].

The  $\rm Zn^{2+}$ -ion is absolutely required for catalytic activity and enhances peptide substrate binding of FT and GGT I, but not binding of prenyl pyrophosphate, suggesting activation of the cysteine thiol group by the metal ion [88]. Coordination with  $\sum n^{2+}$  lowers the  $pK_a$  of the thiol by approximately two units, resulting in a  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ -coordinated thiolate-anion at physiological pH [90]. In the absence of zinc, the enzymatic activity of FT and GGT I is restored by  $Co^{2+}$  or  $Cd^{2+}$  [91], and increased absorption at 340 nm (charge transfer band) of  $Co^{2+}$ -substituted FT upon CXXX-substrate binding supplied the first evidence of a direct interaction between the peptide substrate and the metal ion [92]. The catalytical role of  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  has been confirmed by X-ray crystallography [93]. Substitution of  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  with  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  increases the affinity for the peptide substrate fivefold, while reducing product formation sixfold. This indicates a direct participation of the metal ion in catalysis. Product formation is also decreased for C3-(fluoromethyl)-farnesyl pyrophosphate proportional to the number of fluor atoms [94], indicating a carbocation-like transition state with a partial negative charge on the cysteine sulfur, partial positive charge at carbon 1 of FPP, and another partial negative charge at the oxygen atom connecting C1 to the  $\alpha$ -phosphate [95]. Stabilizing these developing charges seems to be an important feature for the catalytic activity of prenyltransferases (see Fig. 5).

 $Mg^{2+}$  seems to activate pyrophosphate as a leaving group. The *pH*-dependency of FT reveals two deprotonation steps increasing the rate of product formation: ionization of the zinc-coordinated thiol with a  $pK_a$  of 6.0 and dissociation of a



Fig. 5. Proposed transition state of the reactive species in the transfer of a farnesyl group by FT; this figure follows the model of the reaction mechanism described in Ref. [429]

hydroxyl-group of the pyrophosphate of  $FPP$  with  $pK_a = 7.4$ . The second step is important for binding of  $Mg^{2+}$ . The latter reaction does not take place without  $Mg^{2+}$  or with farnesyl monophosphate [96].  $Mg^{2+}$  can be substituted by  $Mn^{2+}$ , which has been shown to coordinate the diphosphate of the isoprenoid by X-ray crystallography. In conclusion, maximum catalytic activity demands a  $\text{Zn}^2$ +-coordinated thiolate nucleophil and a  $Mg^{2+}$ -coordinated diphosphate leaving group.

For FT, both X-ray structures of different states of the enzyme as well as kinetic studies are available, giving the opportunity to deduce the presumable reaction mechanism [97, 52, 98, 93]. Both  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -subunit are mostly  $\alpha$ -helical (see also Fig. 2). Helices 2 to 15 of the  $\alpha$ -subunit fold into seven tetratricopeptide repeats forming a right-handed superhelix of the shape of a crescent [99], enveloping part of the  $\beta$ -subunit. In contrary, 12 helices of the  $\beta$ -subunit form an  $\alpha-\alpha$  barrel. The FPP binding pocket is proposed to be a cleft at the center of the barrel, surrounded by hydrophobic amino acids. A single zinc ion is located at the junction of a hydrophilic groove of the  $\alpha$ -subunit near the interface of the subunits and the  $FPP$ -binding site. It is penta-coordinated to Cys299 $\beta$ , Asp297 $\beta$  as a bidentate ligand and  $His362\beta$  as well as one water molecule in the apoenzyme. The importance of these residues for enzymatic activity has been confirmed by site directed mutagenesis [100, 101].

The structure of FT in complex with FPP revealed binding of the hydrocarbon tail of FPP by the conserved residues Trp102 $\beta$ , Tyr200 $\beta$ , Tyr205 $\beta$ , Tyr251 $\beta$ , and Trp303 $\beta$ , accounting for the increased intrinsic fluorescence of the enzyme upon isoprenoid binding [102]. Arg202 $\beta$  adopts a different conformation for further interactions, stabilized by Asp200 $\beta$  and Met193 $\beta$ . Cys254 $\beta$  and Gly250 $\beta$  also participate in substrate binding. The diphosphate group binds to a positively charged groove, hydrogen-bonded with His248 $\beta$ , Arg291 $\beta$ , and Tyr300 $\beta$ , as well as possibly Lys164 $\alpha$  and Lys294 $\beta$ . Replacement of Lys164 $\alpha$  with Asn results in decreased catalytical activity, underscoring the importance of this residue [103]. The depth of the hydrophobic binding pocket, delimited by the large amino acids  $Trp102\beta$  and  $Tyr205\beta$ , corresponds to the length of FPP, keeping the charged diphosphate group in close proximity to the zinc ion. According to these findings, the pyrophosphate of a GGPP bound at the active site would be out of reach for the  $Zn^{2+}$ , illustrating the isoprenoid substrate selectivity of FT. This explanation is called the molecular ruler hypothesis and is supported by the discovery that GGPP is a competitive inhibitor of FT, but not a substrate [88]. On the other hand, even though FPP binds to GGT with 330-fold less affinity than GGPP [104], it still serves as a substrate.

The CXXX-peptide substrate is bound in an extended conformation [105] with the X3 residue near the bottom of a cleft at the subunit interface, and X2 next to the isoprenoid, both of them interacting with Tyr166 $\alpha$ . Molecular modeling yielded Met, Ser, Gln, and Ala as possible X3 residues, but shows sterical restrictions for Leu. Experiments with peptides of the sequence KKSSCVLX identified Met, Ser, Gln, Ala, and Cys as best substrates [106]. Arg202 $\beta$  forms a hydrogen bond with the C-terminal carboxylate of the peptide. The sidechain of the X1 residue of the CXXX-motif is directed to the solvent, giving an explanation for the farnesylation of at least one protein with a polar amino acid at this position [107]. The cysteine sulfur atom replaces the water molecule coordinating the  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ . FPP contributes significantly to the formation of the binding pocket of the peptide. Van der Waals contacts between the CXXX peptide and FPP exclude the majority of the second and the whole third isoprene unit from the solvent. These results suggest an ordered sequential mechanism with binding of the isoprenoid at first, followed by binding of the peptide substrate [108].

For the actual reaction, a movement of the first two isoprene units of FPP is necessary to get carbon 1 next to the cysteine sulfur. While the chemical step of the reaction is relatively fast, release of the product is the rate limiting step of the reaction [102, 109]. It requires binding of a new FPP displacing the farnesylpeptide to another hydrophobic pocket [93, 110] and is accompanied by a conformation change of the CXXX peptide from extended to  $\beta$ -turn [111, 112]. The nature of the XXX residues also affects dissociation of the complex through interaction with the new isoprenoid substrate. Not enough, the FT-farnesylpeptide-FPP-complex is stable and requires binding of a peptide [93], but it remains uncertain, whether a new substrate peptide is needed for product release or the interaction with a CXXXendoprotease, which cleaves prenylated CXXX-sequences. From these results, it can be concluded that product release from FT may take place at a subcellular localization rich in  $FPP$  and/or newly synthesized protein substrates. A reasonable region would be the ER, where further modifications will occur.

In contrast to FT and GGT I (both are able to prenylate short CXXX peptides), GGT II recognizes a complex of the substrate with a cofactor called Rab escort protein (REP) [54, 113–116] and, then, scans the carboxy terminus for cysteines [117]. This model explains the fact that motifs like CC or CXC do not signal prenylation themselves, as noted above.

The reaction mechanism seems to be similar to FT. GGPP is bound in a cavity of an  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$  barrel, with the diphosphate next to a positively charged cluster and the  $Zn^{2+}$ -ion, coordinated in analogy to FT. GGT II also possesses a similar exit groove. Following the putative reaction pathway, binding of the second isoprenoid would displace the monoprenylated peptide to the second pocket, and after covalent addition of a second lipid anchor, a third GGPP would trigger the release of the product [93]. Different from FT, the active site cavity of GGT II is terminated by the smaller Ser48 $\beta$  and Leu99 $\beta$  (instead of the respective Trp102 $\beta$  and Tyr205 $\beta$ residues in FT), adjusting the size for GGPP binding [118].

#### Post-Prenylation Modifications

#### CXXX-Proteolysis

For many CXXX-proteins, posttranslational modification is not finished with prenylation. The lipidated proteins become cleaved by an endoprotease between the cysteine and X1, releasing a tripeptide and leaving the prenylcysteine in the ultimate position. In analogy to type I prenyltransferases, the protease recognizes short prenylated peptides, suggesting that all relevant signals are included in the immediate sequence environment of the prenylated CXXX-peptide. In fact, a prenylated cystein-dipeptide is the minimum requirement sufficient for cleavage. Additional conditions are (i) that the carboxyl-terminus is not esterified and (ii) that the amino acids are in L-configuration [119]. The highest activity has been measured for big hydrophobic sidechains in positions X1 and X2 [120].

Standard inhibitors of serine-, cysteine-, aspartyl-, and metalloproteases showed no effect, but the protease was inhibited by substrate analogues, especially the aldehyde derivative of benzyloxycarbonyl (BOC)-farnesylcysteine [121]. Further inhibition analysis suggests that the endopeptidase is a thiol protease [122], but sequence analysis argues for a metalloprotease [123]. These results indicate the possibility of multiple CXXX-proteases. It should be noted that, according to the current knowledge, there is only one CXXX peptidase with function shown *in vitro* and *in vivo* and this one is called Rce1 (Ras converting enzyme 1), which cleaves farnesylated as well as geranylgeranylated proteins [124]. The human Rce1 has been shown to hydrolyze substrates with both isoprenoids [125]. Rce1 is a polytopic integral membrane protein localized in the membrane of the ER [126]. Knockout mice deficient for Rce1 showed gel mobility for Ras corresponding to the uncleaved protein, and further processing of Ras was blocked [127]. 50% of Ras were cytosolic, and the partial membrane association was due to farnesylated, uncleaved Ras. There was no detectable CXXX-endopeptidase activity with either farnesylated or geranylgeranylated substrates [128]. Deletion of Rce1 was lethal, the mice died between embryonic day 15 and the first week after birth.

In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, deletion of Rce1 caused only a partial loss of endoproteolytic activity. During processing of the farnesylated a-mating factor from yeast, two CXXX-endopeptidases are involved [129], which are not homologous to each other. There is Rce1p, the yeast homolog to mammalian Rce1, also responsible for Ras2-processing in yeast, and there is Afc1p [130–132]. The latter is a polytopic integral membrane protein like Rce1p and a  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ -metalloprotease, according to sequence analysis. It acts as a CXXX-endopeptidase for model compounds as well as an N-terminal a-factor-endopeptidase [133, 134]. The C-terminal processing of prenylated proteins by Afc1p in vivo has not been shown. The human homolog of Afc1p is a zinc-metalloprotease, too, and it is localized at the ER like Rce1, but its function in mammals is still unknown [130, 135, 126].

# Carboxyl Methylation

After proteolytic cleavage, the now C-terminal prenylcysteine of CXXX-proteins can be carboxymethylated [136]. The isoprenylcysteine carboxyl methyltransferase (ICMT) utilizes S-adenosylmethionine as a substrate [137] and modifies both farnesylated and geranylgeranylated proteins [138]. It is an integral membrane protein localized at the membrane of the ER in mammals [139] and yeast [140], but it may also be found at the Golgi and the nuclear membrane. The smallest unit recognized as substrate is S-prenylcysteine [141].

Like proteolysis by Rce1, methylation of CXXX-proteins is essential. Mice deficient for ICMT die by embryonic day 11, which is earlier than Rce1-deficient mice [142, 143]. There are multiple possible explanations for this result. ICMT may be involved in methylation of some prenylated Rab proteins. Experiments with the competitive inhibitor S-farnesylthioacetic acid (FTA) [141] showed influence of ICMT on various cellular processes like the capacitive  $Ca^{2+}$ -influx [144], tumor-necrosis-factor  $\alpha$  induced necrosis [145], and induction of apoptosis [146], but these results are not only indicative, since FTA is not specific for ICMT [147]. Another possible reason is that the XXX-tripeptide is not as troublesome for the function of prenylated proteins as is a C-terminal carboxylate-anion, which seems quite plausible for the case of membrane association.

Rab proteins do not undergo proteolytic cleavage, but those ending in CXC become methylated [66], while those ending in CC do not [148]. This methylation activity is also dependent on S-adenosylmethionine, but seems to be provided by a different enzyme [149].

#### Other Post-Prenylation Modifications

Additional to CXXX-proteolysis and methylation, some prenylated proteins require extra proteolytic cleavage steps. At first, there are farnesylated fungal peptide pheromones like a-mating factor from yeast [150]. The a-factor is synthesized as a 36 amino acid propeptide, and after standard processing for CXXX-proteins, there are two more cleavages at the N-terminus [151]. The first one removes 7 residues and is catalyzed by Afc1p, which has been mentioned above [133], and in the second step 14 amino acids are removed by Axl1p, another  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ -metalloprotease [152]. Both steps require farnesylated and membrane associated substrates, but it is unknown whether the farnesyl group is recognized specifically by the endoproteases or just provides the required membrane attachment [151].

The other example is lamin A. The mature protein is not prenylated at all, but is synthesized as a prelamin A precursor, which includes a CXXX-motif at the Cterminus and undergoes the complete processing followed by an endoproteolytic cleavage 15 amino acids upstream of the site of farnesylation, releasing mature lamin A and a farnesylated peptide [153–156]. The proteolysis takes place at the nuclear membrane [157] and is performed by a serine protease, which specifically cleaves the conserved hexapeptide  $RSY$  LLG in farnesylated prelamin A. The current hypothesis proposes sterical hindrance of the cleavage site in the unprenylated molecule, which is removed by binding of the isoprenoid in an extra pocket of the endoprotease [158, 159].

Many proteins undergo palmitoylation in addition to prenylation. In fact, all of the Ras proteins in humans except K-Ras4B possess cysteines upstream of the prenylation site which are subject to palmitoylation. This modification is known to occur at membranes and mediates membrane interactions, suggesting that prenylation guides proteins to the membrane, but a second anchor is necessary for stable binding to the lipid bilayer. In fact, K-Ras4B, which is not palmitoylated, exhibits a polybasic region (PBR) consisting of a stretch of six consecutive lysine residues upstream of the CXXX-motif. This feature is known to promote membrane association by electrostatic interaction with the negatively charged phospholipid head groups [160, 168].

#### Functions of Prenylation

#### Membrane Association

Although there is a large pool of cytosolic prenylated proteins, almost every protein known to be prenylated interacts with membranes in some way [161, 162]. This is

not surprising facing the similarity to other posttranslational lipid modifications like palmitoylation or myristoylation, which mediate membrane association [163]. The isoprenoids are hydrophobic groups, and hydrophobicity is increased further by proteolysis and methylation [164]. Proteolytic removal of the XXX-tripeptide may allow better interaction of the prenyl group with the lipid bilayer and methylation may contribute through enhanced hydrophobicity [165] as well as altered conformation and charge [28]. This makes membrane localization an easy choice when searching for the function of prenylation. Support comes from the results that site directed mutagenesis of the prenylated cysteine residue abolishes membrane association of Ras and Rab proteins. Without a doubt, prenylation, proteolysis, and methylation trigger membrane association in some way. But how exactly does this interaction look like? Is it really only a lipid–lipid interaction, based solely on hydrophobicity?

Prenylated proteins are specifically localized to a wide variety of subcellular membrane compartments. With only two different isoprenoid anchors available, it is impossible to provide the needed selectivity. Experiments with cells deficient in CXXX-proteolysis showed that Ras is mislocalized to intracellular membranes instead of the plasma membrane if only farnesylated, indicating that prenylation alone offers only very unspecific membrane attachment. Additionally, in most cases prenylation alone is not sufficient for stable membrane binding [166, 160]. A second anchor is needed like a palmitoyl group [167] or a polybasic region [168]. Since palmitoylation occurs at the membrane [169], this led to the ''kinetic bilayer trapping''-hypothesis [163], proposing that palmitoylation stabilizes the membrane association initially provided by isoprenylation [32].

But how are proteins brought to the plasma membrane? Since CXXX-processing occurs at the ER, it was proposed that H-Ras and N-Ras could travel with the vesicles of the secretory pathway to the plasma membrane [170] where they are palmitoylated. In contrast, K-RasB exhibits a polylysine domain and is believed to utilize a different route to its destination [171]. There is evidence for interaction of K-RasB with tubulin, as disruption of the microtubule by taxol results in mislocalization of K-RasB. Lamin A is another example where the isoprenoid merely guides the modified protein to the membrane for further processing. But it is not involved in the function of the mature protein, since the prenylated peptide is finally removed.

In conclusion, isoprenylation seems to bring proteins in proximity to membranes, where other factors determine the precise localization. But even with added palmitoylation or polybasic region, there is still not enough selectivity to explain the diversity observed in the targeting of prenylated proteins. Supporting experimental data come from oncogenic H-Ras mutants with changes in amino acid sequence upstream of the prenylation and palmitoylation sites, which were mislocalized and lacked transforming activity [167].

The Ras proteins display their greatest divergence in the carboxy-terminal region of about 20 amino acids directly upstream of the CXXX-motif. This socalled hypervariable region contributes to the avidity and specificity of plasma membrane interactions [172], which may be possible through binding to receptors in the membrane [173]. Experiments with GFP-fusion-proteins support this theory [174]. GFP with the CXXX-motif of Ras proteins was found localized to endomembranes, while fusion-proteins including the hypervariable domain are localized correctly to the plasma membrane.

Another subfamily of small GTPases, called the Rab proteins, consists of more than 60 members [175] regulating the vesicular traffic and apparently, require the most precise targeting of all prenylated proteins [176]. They also exhibit a hypervariable domain, which has been demonstrated to influence their subcellular localization [177]. Switching of the hypervariable domains of Rab5 and 7 reversed their membrane localization.

Rab proteins interact with two types of auxiliary proteins showing high structural and functional homology. The first one is the Rab escort protein (REP), which has been mentioned above. It binds unprenylated Rab proteins, presents them to GGT II and may also escort the processed Rab proteins to their proper membrane localization [178]. The other one called Rab guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitor (RabGDI) is able to extract Rab proteins in the inactive, GDP-bound state from membranes, thus facilitating the recycling of Rab proteins to their original membrane [179, 180]. In contrast to REP, RabGDI does not bind to unprenylated Rab proteins [181]. Both of these proteins possess the characteristics needed for escorting Rab proteins to their target membrane, where the complex may be recognized and dissociated by a specific receptor. Mutational analysis showed that a Rab1-mutant unable to bind RabGDI, but able to become geranylgeranylated and thus to bind to REP, was localized correctly [182], indicating that REP initially delivers Rab proteins to their site of action [183], while RabGDI provides recycling through the cytosol, but how is the task of target membrane recognition by the hypervariable region accomplished?

Studies focusing on Ypt proteins, the yeast homologs of Rab, showed that hypervariable domain of Ypt1 bound to GDI drapes over the GDI surface in an extended conformation, making it highly accessible for recognition by target membrane components. The same seems to be true for mammalian Rab:GDI-complexes. The hypervariable domains, which do not only differ in sequence but also in length, create a unique surface region for each Rab:GDI-complex facilitating specific delivery to target membranes. Given the high similarity of REP to GDI, REP may utilize the same mechanism for escorting Rab proteins to membranes after prenylation.

A putative GDI displacement factor (GDF) for the GDI:Rab9-complex has already been discovered [184] and been named prenylated Rab acceptor 1 (PRA1) [185] and Ypt-interacting protein 3 (Yip3) [186] in yeast, respectively. It displays all features necessary for the function as a receptor, including membrane association and high affinity for GDI:Rab9. It stimulates dissociation of the complex and membrane association of Rab9 [186]. But the presumable receptor is not specific for Rab9 and functions for a whole subset of Rab proteins instead [185]. In yeast, a total of six Yip proteins have been found and there is some evidence indicating that others than Yip3 play a role in Ypt targeting [187]. Although database searches showed many more members for the corresponding mammalian protein family (in human there are 16), there are still far fewer than Rab proteins. This leads to the assumption that  $Yip/PRA$  proteins mediate membrane targeting of multiple  $Ypt/Rab$  proteins. Further specification of localization, that means transport to certain membrane microdomains, may be accomplished by interaction of Rab proteins with their specific effectors. This could occur either by stabilizing membrane association of Rab through binding to effectors already localized in a microdomain, or by recruitment of effectors after activation of the Rab protein, creating its own microenvironment [188].

#### The Prenyl Anchor as Specific Recognition Unit

So far, we viewed prenylation only as unspecific guide to membranes with following precise targeting by protein–protein interactions between parts of the prenylated protein and membrane constituents. Should this be all there is? If the function of prenylation was just to provide general hydrophobic interactions, nature would have picked a poor choice. Isoprenoids have a branched and quite rigid structure, making them a far worse hydrophobic ''glue'' than, e.g., palmitate [189]. And after all, there are various experimental results indicating that the isoprenoid groups participate in specific recognition through lipid-protein interactions [190].

First of all, there is evidence for differential prenylation. While the  $\alpha$ -subunit of retinal *cGMP*-phosphodiesterase is farnesylated, the  $\beta$ -subunit is geranylgeranylated, suggesting that there must be some important difference in the functionality of the isoprenoids to legitimate the effort of different prenylations in one enzyme [191]. RhoB exists in isoforms with both isoprenoids [192, 193]. It was proposed that farnesylated RhoB may be growth promoting, while geranylgeranylated RhoB inhibits growth. Anyway, it is quite sure that prenyl anchor size does matter: G-protein  $\beta$ - $\gamma$  complexes are directed to specific receptors depending on isoprenoid length [194]. Rhodopsin kinase looses its ability of translocation to membranes upon light induction with the wrong isoprenoid attached, which is caused by a defect in the interaction with photon activated rhodopsin [195]. Geranylgeranylated normal Ras possesses growth inhibitory activity, while oncogenic Ras with a geranylgeranyl moiety has transforming activity, but not normal biological function, suggesting that membrane association requirements of normal and oncogenic Ras might be different [60]. Myristoylation can replace prenylation for membrane association required for oncogenic activities [48, 196] but leads to activation of transforming activity in normal Ras. Thus, it seems that myristoylation does not facilitate normal Ras functions [197]. The geranylgeranylated forms of K-RasB and H-Ras have been shown to exhibit cellular localizations different from the normal variants. In addition, in yeast only farnesylated RAS is capable of stimulating adenylate cyclase activation and the same is true for interaction of mammalian Ras with its effector Raf [198, 199]. Taken together, these results indicate that oncogenic Ras only requires a generalized membrane association, whereas normal Ras function requires farnesylation [167].

Furthermore, there are multiple examples of direct protein-protein interactions dependent on prenylation. For K-Ras, interaction with tubulin has been shown to require prenylation [200]. Processing of lamin A [153, 154], as well as cleavage and methylation of all CXXX-proteins depend on prenylation.

Of course, it is possible to argue that these interactions may simply depend on membrane association, but there is evidence that this is not the case. For example, detergent-solubilized adenylate cyclase of S. cerevisiae is only activated by farnesylated Ras, suggesting direct recognition of the isoprenoid [201, 202]. The same is true for prelamin A endoprotease, which requires prenylation even when solubilized with detergents. Furthermore, farnesylated prelamin A is processed faster than the geranylgeranylated one. N-Acetyl-farnesylmethylcysteine (AFMC) is a noncompetitive inhibitor of prelamin A endoprotease, indicating that the isoprenoid is bound in a location distinct from the active site.

Other data indicate that membrane association may be mediated by lipid-protein interactions, too. An unidentified binding activity for H-Ras has been found in the plasma membrane. It showed high affinity, but low capacity and, thus, was saturable [203]. There was no competition for binding by unfarnesylated H-Ras but by different farnesylated proteins and peptides as well as by AFMC. Another small analog, S-farnesylthiosalicylic acid (FTS), releases H-Ras and K-Ras from the membrane to the cytosol at high concentrations, where they are exposed to increased degradation [204, 205]. At lower concentrations, FTS increases the lateral diffusion of a green fluorescent protein (GFP)-K-Ras-fusion-protein, indicating that the protein is released from some restraints to its mobility [206]. Similar studies revealed that N-acetyl-S-farnesylcysteine (AFC) dislodges farnesylated Ras proteins from the membrane [207], while N-acetyl-S-geranylgeranylcysteine (AGGC) extracts geranylgeranylated Rho proteins [208]. All these results support the assumption, that prenyl modifications are at least part of a unit that is specifically recognized by certain target proteins.

For lamin B, a receptor residing in the nuclear envelope has been identified [209], but only little is known about the actual interaction. S. cerevisiae a-factor has a specific receptor, too, which requires a prenylated and methylated peptide pheromone for binding [210]. Studies on the heterotrimeric  $G_t$ -protein revealed inhibition of formation of the  $G_{\text{t}\alpha\beta\gamma}$ -complex by S-prenylcysteine analogs. Since the inhibition is independent of palmitoylation, it seems likely that  $G_{\text{t}\alpha}$  possesses a prenyl binding domain for  $G_{t\gamma}$  [211, 212].

First direct evidence for the existence of prenyl binding pockets for recognition of prenylation comes from RabGDI, which has already been mentioned before in context with the influence of the hypervariable region. Binding of Rab proteins by RabGDI requires prenylation [181, 213] and is selective for geranylgeranylation over farnesylation [180]. By X-ray crystallography, it was shown that the hypervariable region is held in place by multiple interactions. Binding of the polypeptide chain of the Rab proteins to a Rab binding platform at the top of the GDI leads to a conformational change exposing a cavity at the bottom of the GDI which is rich in hydrophobic residues and binds to a prenyl group of the Rab protein [214]. Other investigators found a second prenyl binding site just below the Rab binding platform [215]. Both sites also have a nearby second groove, which fits the usually digeranylgeranylated Rab proteins. Since RabGDI extracts GDP-bound Rab proteins from membranes, it seems feasible that a first interaction with the target leaves the isoprenoid(s) bound to the pocket close to the Rab binding platform, weakening the association with the membrane. Later, the isoprenoid(s) are translocated over the GDI-surface to the second prenyl binding pocket which has been exposed by the first contact, ending in a more stable binding and optimal presentation of the hypervariable region [188]. Since REP shows a high degree of homology to RabGDI [116, 216–218], it may also contain a similar prenyl binding pocket.

There are other GDIs for Rho and Rac proteins with a function similar to that of RabGDI [219–221]. Structure analyses in solution of Rho:GDI- and Rac:GDIcomplexes support a model with two different binding domains [222, 223]. One of them binds to the peptide and exhibits the GTPase inhibiting activity, while the other one is responsible for membrane extraction and binding of the isoprenoid. For example, one experiment demonstrated the possibility of sequential binding of a farnesylated peptide analog of the C-terminus and a C-terminal truncated Rac1 [224]. The crystal structures of RhoGDI in complex with Cdc42 [225] and RhoGDI-2 in complex with Rac2 [226] confirmed this model. Recent studies on  $cGMP$  phosphodiesterase  $\delta$  subunit (PDE $\delta$ ) revealed structural similarity to the domain of RhoGDI that contains the prenyl binding pocket [227, 228], indicating a function that depends on prenylation of PDE $\alpha\beta$ . PDE $\delta$  seems to solubilize the PDE $\alpha\beta$ -dimer from the membrane [229–231]. Other experiments showed that PDE $\delta$  can interact with a wide range of farnesylated and geranylgeranylated proteins, suggesting that it acts as a cytosolic carrier of prenylated proteins [227, 228]. Another prenyl binding pocket found by comparison to the one in RhoGDI is part of the  $\beta$ -galactoside-binding protein galectin-1 [232]. Galectin-1 preferentially interacts with the active, GTP-bound forms of H-Ras and K-Ras [233, 234]. It is recruited to the membrane by active Ras, where it seems to stabilize Ras in its active state and to enhance membrane association with specific microdomains.

In conclusion, there is no doubt that recognition of isoprenoid groups by specific prenyl-binding pockets seems to be an important feature of protein isoprenylation. The structures of known prenyl-binding domains differ from each other, despite their analogy at first sight, enabling high selectivity towards specific prenylated target proteins [235].

# Functional Contribution of Post-Prenylation Processing

If prenyl groups are part of regions specifically recognized by other proteins, the same is true for the other processing steps of CXXX-proteins. Once again, it is quite easy to propose a function in simply increasing membrane affinity. This might be true anyway, since proteolytic cleavage removes a part of the polypeptide chain which may interfere with optimal interaction of the prenyl group with membrane lipids, although it creates a negative charge in direct proximity of the isoprenoid. Methylation nullifies this effect, enhancing hydrophobicity of the C-terminus once more, especially for farnesylated proteins, while the influence on hydrophobicity of geranylgeranylated proteins is negligible [236, 165, 237].

However, there is evidence that postprenylation modifications also participate in specific interactions. S. cerevisiae a-mating factor requires a fully processed C-terminus for recognition and export by a membrane transporter [210, 238]. Transducin with a non-methylated  $\gamma$ -subunit exhibits a reduction by a factor of 10 in activation of its downstream effectors [239–241]. Association of K-Ras with microtubules, which is believed to be important for trafficking to the target membrane, as well as membrane association of K-Ras also depends on methylation [242–244]. At last, interaction of lamin B with an unidentified protein of the inner nuclear membrane demands the fully processed, mature lamin [245].

Other examinations found an influence on protein stability. While RhoA showed increased degradation without methylation [246, 247], the half-life of Ras was longer. Anyway, it remains unclear whether this is due to mislocalization or altered recognition by the degradation apparatus of the cell.

While prenylation has been shown to be a stable modification, methylation in principle is fully reversible [28]. Consequential, a regulatory function has been hypothesized [248, 249]. But until today, no specific methylesterase has been found and there is also not the slightest sign for cycles of methylation/demethylation in any investigated protein [250]. In conclusion, post-prenylation processing mainly seems to be dedicated to supplement the features of prenylation, but even this function is crucial, at least in some proteins.

#### Function and Clinical Relevance of Isoprenylated Proteins

In mammals, it is estimated that there are approximately 50 farnesylated proteins [251] and 100 proteins geranylgeranylated by GGT I, along with more than 70 Rab proteins, most of them if not all undergoing prenylation by GGT II [75, 56]. Many prenylated proteins exhibit important regulatory functions of the cell, especially in signal transduction but also in proliferation, differentiation, cell survival and death, regulation of the cytoskeleton, cell migration and adhesion, as well as vesicular traffic [252–256]. Thus, it is not surprising that more than one prenylated protein is involved in human diseases, the most prominent of them being cancer.

# Ras Proteins

The four Ras proteins H-Ras, N-Ras, and splice variants A and B of K-Ras are master regulators in signal transduction pathways controlling cell proliferation and differentiation [257]. They are members of the large family of small GTPases, which function as molecular switches, cycling between the active, GTP-bound and the inactive, GDP-bound state. Mutated versions of Ras have been shown to be involved in a wide variety of human cancers [258–262]. Those mutations are usually constitutively activated forms which are chronically GTP-bound [263]. All four Ras proteins undergo farnesylation [32], and this modification has been shown to be critical for localization to the inner surface of the plasma membrane and at least some of the normal biological functions. Fortunately, transformation of cells by oncogenic Ras depends on prenylation, too [196, 48, 264]. Since oncogenic mutations of elements lying upstream of Ras in the signal pathways, e.g., some tyrosine kinases [265–267], also exert their transforming activity through hyperactivation of Ras, controlling the farnesylation of those proteins has become a topic of high interest in cancer research.

Closely related are the Rap proteins, more precisely Rap1A and B which are geranylgeranylated and the farnesylated Rap2A and B. Mutational activation of Rap1A signaling is associated with myeloproliferation [268].

#### Rab Proteins

The Rab proteins are the only known targets of GGT II, although some of them have been shown to be modified by GGT I. They carry out important functions in the assembly of transport vesicles and their targeting in the exo- and endocytotic pathway of mammals [269, 270]. Prenylation is crucial for their membrane association [271] and is also necessary for interaction with RabGDI [181], which enables recycling of Rab proteins through the cytosol back to their original membranes.

The most popular diseases associated with Rab prenylation and function are not correlated to a certain Rab protein but with general defects in Rab modification [271]. Loss of the GGT II  $\alpha$ -subunit causes the *Hermansky-Pudlak* syndrome. This is a disfunction of vesicle traffic between the trans- $Golgi$  and lysosomes [272] with phenotypic effects on platelet synthesis, platelet organelle function, and pigmentation, while X-linked choroideremia is associated with loss of REP [116, 114, 273]. The symptom is retinal degeneration, resulting in night blindness in young people and complete loss of vision in the worst case. The specific loss of Rab27a function causes the Griscelli syndrome with a disease pattern very similar to the Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome [274].

#### Other Small GTPases

Other proteins of the Ras superfamily also exhibit important functions in the cell. Most of them are geranylgeranylated [193, 275]. The Rho proteins regulate the actin cytoskeleton with involvement of RhoA and B in the formation of actin stress fibers and focal adhesions [276, 277]. Cdc42 is associated with formation of filopodia as well as control of the cell cycle and cell polarity. Rac has functions in the secretion at the plasma membrane, membrane ruffling [278], and the oxidative burst of phagocytic cells [279–281]. Some members of the Rho family also play a role in apoptosis.

From these important functions, it is quite clear that they are also connected with some types of cancer [282]. Rho proteins are especially correlated to tumor angiogenesis and metastasis [283–285], which seems quite believable, considering their field of action.

#### Non Ras-Related Proteins

The heterotrimeric G-proteins play a key role in transmitting extracellular signals from cell surface receptors to the intracellular signaling cascades. They are GTPases like the Ras superfamily but, in contrast to the monomeric Ras proteins, they are built from three subunits designated  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ . Some  $\gamma$ -subunits have been shown to require prenylation for their proper function [286], while the  $\alpha$ subunits are not modified by isoprenoids, despite the fact that they possess a CXXX-like motif at the C-terminus [287]. Like Ras, the G-proteins are involved in malignant transformation of cells by constitutive activation of their downstream signaling pathways [288–291].

The nuclear lamins are an important component of the nuclear membrane, involved in the mitotic membrane disassembly and assembly [292]. Farnesylation is required for maturation of lamin A [153] and an integral part of mature lamin B, while lamin C is not prenylated.

There are many more proteins with prenylation dependent functions which did not receive as much attention as GTPases or the lamins. The spectrum ranges from fungal mating peptides [293] like a-factor and rhodotorucine A to the large antigen of the hepatitis delta virus, which requires prenylation for viral particle assembly [294]. Other examples are the kinetochore proteins Cenp-E and F [295] and various proteins involved in vision like transducin  $[296]$ , retinal *cGMP* phosphodiesterase, and rhodopsin kinase [297].

#### Inhibitors of Prenyltransferases

Ras mutations have been detected in  $\sim$ 30% of all human cancers. The discovery that all Ras proteins are farnesylated and require this modification for membrane targeting, normal biological function and malignant cell transformation as well [45] boosted the efforts invested in the development of farnesyltransferase inhibitors (FTI) as anti-cancer therapeutics [298]. Different strategies of targeting FT divide FTIs into three classes:

- 1) Farnesyl pyrophosphate analogs compete with FPP for the binding to FT
	- a) Competitive inhibitors of the CXXX-binding site
	- b) Peptidomimetic inhibitors
- 2) Non-peptidomimetic inhibitors derived from high throughput screenings
- 3) Bisubstrate analogs that mimic the features of both FT substrates [299]

Inhibition at the peptide substrate binding site turned out to be more specific [300–302], while *FPP* analogs also inhibited GGT I and *FPP*-converting enzymes like squalene synthase [303, 304].

#### Preclinical Studies

In preclinical experiments with cultured cells and mouse models, FTIs exhibited remarkable effects as anti-cancer drugs with  $IC_{50}$  values in the low nanomolecular range [303, 305–317]. Various cancer cell lines were affected by FTI treatment [316, 318], with complete inhibition of transformation at best. Other effects included inhibition of anchorage-dependent growth [318], changes in cell cycle progression  $(G_1/S$  and  $G_2/M$  phase checkpoints depending on cell type), induction of apoptosis [319], and effects on actin stress fibers and cell morphology [320].

The knockouts of the FT  $\beta$ -subunit in mice [321] showed that FT is required for tumor progression, but not for its initiation. Furthermore, it revealed that, while FT is critical during embryonic development, conditional knockouts of age up to 18 months showed no severe defects, indicating that FT is dispensable for postnatal development and adult homeostasis. This is in accordance with *in vivo* studies showing that FTIs are well tolerated and suggests the use of FTIs for tumor prevention (after surgical removal of tumors to prevent recurrence).

However, preclinical studies yielded two surprising outcomes, too. Inhibition of tumor growth was independent of the Ras status [318]. Some cell lines without any Ras mutations were affected by FTI treatment, indicating that the anti-tumor effects of FTIs are at least not alone mediated by inhibition of farnesylation of the Ras proteins [322, 309]. This raises the question, which proteins are the true targets. On the other hand, while cell lines bearing H-Ras mutations generally

Cancer	Frequency $\%$	Type	Cancer	Frequency $\%$	<b>Type</b>
Pancreas	80	K	Lung	$30 - 50$	K
Colon	$40 - 50$	K	Liver	10	N
Thyroid	$50 - 80$	H, N, K	Kidney	10	H
Head & Neck	25	H, N, K	Ovary	25	K
<b>Bile Duct</b>	>50	N	Endometrial	$18 - 40$	K
<b>Breast</b>	$<$ 5	$H_{\rm A}$ K	Glioma	$<$ 5	wt. overexpression

Table 2. Ras mutations in solid malignancies [380]

respond very well to FTIs, increased resistance was observed for mutated K-Ras and N-Ras [63]. Unfortunately, in human cancers K-Ras is mutated most frequently (Table 2).

Different explanations for FTI resistance of cancer cell lines have been proposed. One possibility is that transforming activity of K-Ras and N-Ras can be rescued by alternative geranylgeranylation due to crossreactivity of GGT I [323, 323, 63]. However, the experimental results on the alternative prenylation of K-Ras and N-Ras are partially conflicting [324, 325]. To date, there is no evidence for this phenomenon in any other protein investigated. This is due to problems in the experimental procedures. The ratio of FPP to GGPP depends on the intracellular concentration of their precursor mevalonate [326], making it difficult to perform radioactive labeling experiments with physiological pools of FPP and GGPP. Worsening the case even more, FPP-synthase is downregulated by FPP, while GGPP-synthesis is stimulated by FPP [327]. Thus, inhibition of FT increases cellular concentration of FPP and in consequence raises also the level of available GGPP. In addition, oncogenic K-Ras exhibits pleiotropic effects on mevalonate-metabolism [328]. At last, incorporation of a radioactive label does not reveal the fraction of the protein that is prenylated. Thus, it is hard to distinguish between experimental artifacts and results reflecting the true in vivo situation concerning alternative prenylation.

Experimental data show that FTIs induced tumor regression in cell lines bearing K-Ras mutations, although prenylation of K-Ras was not blocked. This result supports the thesis of alternative prenylation and provides further evidence that proteins other than Ras mediate the effects of FTIs [329].

While tumor-suppressive effects of FTIs are restricted for K-Ras mutations, association of K-Ras with its effector Raf-1 has been shown to be effectively inhibited by FTI [330]. This result suggests that FTI resistance is due to activation of other effectors downstream of Raf-1 by geranylgeranylated K-Ras or mutational activation [331].

Irrespective of alternative prenylation of K-Ras and N-Ras, interrupted treatment with FTIs sometimes led to development of resistance. A possible explanation is mutation of FT. Indeed, it has been reported that the mutation Y361L increased resistance of FT for inhibition by FTIs. Nevertheless, it is still able to farnesylate some of its normal substrates, namely those terminating in CIIS [332].

In conclusion, different mechanisms could contribute to FTI resistance of cancer cells. While continuous treatment should overcome some of the troubles, alternative prenylation of K-Ras and N-Ras (and possibly others) demands for another solution. Combined treatment with geranylgeranyltransferase I inhibitors (GGTI) should prevent alternative prenylation, and GGTIs caused tumor regression in cultured cells and mice [329] (cell cycle arrest in  $G_0/G_1$  phase) [333], but there are conflicting reports on the tolerance of these compounds [334, 335]. A new development are dual prenyltransferase inhibitors (DPTI) which serve the same purpose and yielded promising results in in vivo models [336].

Since cell lines bearing mutations in H-Ras or no Ras mutations at all appeared to be most sensitive to treatment with FTIs, other proteins which mediate their tumor suppressing effects are still waiting to be discovered. RhoB has been observed both farnesylated and geranylgeranylated. Thus, it has been suggested as a key target for FTI [337, 338]. A shift in RhoB prenylation towards the geranylgeranylated form was proposed to induce phenotypic reversion and loss of anchorage independence [339–343]. In contrast to this hypothesis, overexpression of farnesylated RhoB also induces apoptosis [344]. Another model assigns RhoB a tumor suppressive function [345], documented by the fact that RhoB levels in head and neck carcinoma decreased with tumor stage [346]. Recapitulating, there are different assumptions concerning the influence of RhoB, but there is no direct evidence for a role in mediating apoptotic effects of FTIs.

Since FTI treatment in most cell types induces cell cycle arrest in  $G_2/M$  phase, prenylation of the kinetochore proteins Cenp-E and F was proposed to be a target for FTIs [295]. Again, there is no evidence for this thesis.

Conflicting data are available on the influence of p53 on the action of FTIs. While some experiments suggested that cell lines with wild-type p53 are most sensitive to FTI treatment [347, 348], in vivo studies in transgenic mice indicated a p53-independent apoptotic activity of FTIs [349]. A possible explanation could be two different pathways of action of FTIs. Anyway, since defects in p53 lead to accelerated tumorigenesis and resistance to various cytotoxics, a p53 independent way for induction of apoptosis by FTIs indicates that they may be active against these tumors [350].

Indeed, two ways for induction of apoptosis by FTIs have been revealed. The first one is mediated by release of cytochrome c from the mitochondria into the cytosol, resulting in activation of caspases [320]. Interestingly, the common  $\alpha$ subunit of FT and GGT I becomes cleaved by caspase-3, indicating that inactivation of prenylation contributes to progress of apoptosis [351]. The other way of action is inhibition of the phosphoinositide  $3$ -OH kinase/AKT2-mediated cell survival and adhesion pathway, but this effect is not mediated by Ras or RhoB [352]. Therefore, other proteins involved in this pathway could be targets for FTIs.

To date, no specific protein has been identified as the key target for FTI treatment. Thus, their effects are mediated either by a not identified protein or inhibition of farnesylation of several proteins is required for antitumor activity.

Recently, inhibition of RabGGT has been identified as a possible mechanism of certain Bristol-Myers Squibb FTIs known to be strong inducers of apoptosis. Knockdown of RabGGT as well as of its substrates Rab5 and Rab7 resembled the proapoptotic activity of the FTIs [353]. The frequent overexpression of both subunits of RabGGT in human tumors supports the idea of RabGGT as a new target in cancer therapy, providing a novel pathway for induction of apoptosis by inter-



Fig. 6. Chemical structures of farnesyltransferase inhibitors: R115777 (A), SCH66336 (B), BMS-214662 (C), and L778123 (D); the chemical formulas of the drug molecules are based on information from Ref. [336]

fering with vesicular trafficking. However, it remains to be established whether this effect is a feature of other FTIs, too, or is unique to the investigated type of compound.

#### Clinical Trials

Preclinical studies revealed at least in some cases high antitumor effectivity along with low toxicity of FTIs, leading to quick approval for clinical tests [354, 355]. To date, six compounds have been or are being tested [356], but results for only four compounds are publicly available (see Fig. 6):

- 1. R115777, Tipifarnib, ZARNESTRA<sup>TM</sup> (Ortho Biotech. Oncology/Janssen Pharma PV)
- 2. SCH66336, SARASARTM (Schering-Plough Res. Inst.) [315]
- 3. BMS-214662 (Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharma Res. Inst.)
- 4. L-778123 (Merck Res. Lab.)

R115777: Phase I studies on this compound revealed myelosuppression and neurotoxicity as dose limiting toxicities, while nausea, vomiting, headache, fatigue, anemia, and hypotension were also observed [357–360]. Several phase II trials have also been performed with R115777. In patients with advanced breast cancer, nine partial responses and nine cases of stable disease were observed in 76 patients [361], while for patients with relapsed small-cell lung cancer no response and only

one case of stable disease are reported [362]. Good results were also obtained in the treatment of patients with untreated poor-risk acute myeloid leukemia (AML) or myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), with up to 33% response rate (8 complete, 2 partial) [336]. A second trial with MDS patients yielded only 2 complete and one partial remission in 27 patients [363]. For patients with multiple myeloma, treatment with R11577 unfortunately yielded no response, but stabilization of the disease was observed in 64% of the patients [364]. Two phase III trials brought no response in patients with advanced colorectal cancer as well as pancreatic carcinoma [336]. In the latter case, R115777 has been tested in combination with the conventional drug gemcitabine but there was no enhancement in response compared to treatment with gemcitabine alone.

SCH66336: Phase I trials utilizing an intermittent dosing schedule showed diarrhea to be dose limiting, while other observed toxicities included nausea, vomiting and fatigue [365]. A continuous dosing schedule also caused neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, confusion, and disorientation [366]. Phase II studies with urothelial cancer, metastatic colorectal cancer, and chemotherapy-refractive advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinoma all resulted in no response, but differing percentages of patients with stable disease [367, 336]. The best results were gained in trials with myeloid malignancies with up to 19% response rate [368].

BMS-214662: Initial tests with oral formulation were abandoned due to severe gastrointestinal toxicity [336]. Therefore, further investigations were performed with intravenous administration. Dose limiting toxicities in phase I trials were nausea, vomiting, diarrhea [369] but, in other administration schemes, also creatinine elevation, acute pancreatitis, and renal failure as well as hypokalemia and cardiovascular problems, somnolence, anorexia, leucopenia, and neutropenia were observed [370, 371]. While phase I studies reported activity in lung, colorectal, prostate, laryngeal, and breast cancer and also antileukemic activity in five patients [363], no literature is available on phase II studies.

L778123: In contrast to the other compounds, L778123 also exhibits considerable inhibition of GGT I [372, 373]. It is able to completely inhibit K-Ras prenylation in cell lines [374], but fails to do so in humans [373]. Phase I trials were performed with intravenous administration [375, 376]. Dose limiting toxicities were revealed to be thrombocytopenia and neutropenia, but no responses were obtained. Since  $QT<sub>C</sub>$  prolongation in ECG was observed in at least one patient in all trials (indicating a delay in cardiac repolarization, which favors development of cardiac arrhythmias) the development of compound was discontinued.

In conclusion, FTIs yielded promising results in preclinical studies, which could not be fully confirmed in clinical tests. This may be due to unexpected resistance of tumors bearing mutations in K-Ras and N-Ras, which can be alternatively geranylgeranylated. Nonetheless, FTIs showed good results against hematological malignancies [377] and breast cancer. In addition, synergistic effects were observed with conventional drugs as taxanes and platinum-containing compounds [378]. For example, SCH66336 has been shown to exhibit synergy with cisplatin [379]. Preclinical tests on synergistic antitumor activity of R115777 with trastuzumab in certain types of breast cancer yielded positive results, followed by phase I trials demonstrating that administration of the full dose of both agents is safe [380]. Combination with SCH66336 is also under investigation. Synergistic effects

observed for combination of FTIs with taxanes [381] were proposed to be mediated by inhibition of farnesylation of the centromeric proteins Cenp-E and -F, thus enhancing the microtubule-stabilizing effect of the taxanes [382]. Combination with cytotoxics revealed some effects in phase I studies [383], although combination of R11577 with gemcitabine was ineffective in a phase III trial [336].

#### Applications for the Use of FTIs Besides Cancer Treatment

Viruses utilize the machinery of their host cells for various functions critical for their life cycle. Consequently, some viruses could also take advantage of protein prenylation. Indeed, prenylation of viral proteins has been demonstrated. The hepatitis delta virus (HDV) has an RNA genome encoding only two proteins, the small and the large antigen. These differ only in 19 additional amino acids at C-terminus of the large antigen [384]. This protein possesses a CXXX-motif, which is conserved between different HDV isolates [294]. It has been shown to be subject to farnesylation in vitro and in cell culture [294, 385, 386]. The HDV large antigen is required for assembly and release of viral particles [387]. The critical role for prenylation in this process has been demonstrated by mutation of the modified cysteine, which impaired viral particle formation [385]. Treatment with FTIs turned out to be highly effective in cell culture as well as in mouse models, reducing virion production below the detection limit [388–390].

This offers a novel antiviral strategy. By targeting a host cell function, which is not under viral control, it can be anticipated to be harder for the virus to develop resistance. Furthermore, the farnesyl moiety seems to be a specific ligand, indicating that substitution by geranylgeranyl may be not sufficient to restore large antigen function in the case of HDV. At last, although also interfering with normal functions of the host cell, FTIs are tolerated surprisingly well by higher eukaryote organisms such as mice or humans.

CXXX-motifs are also found in other viral proteins, but prenylation has not been proven for all of them. UL32 of the herpes simplex virus (HSV), which is also believed to be involved in particle formation, is one of these examples [391]. HSV-1 is thought to exploit Ras signaling pathways. Therefore, it has been subjected to FTI treatment. Antiviral activity was observed, indicating a possible dual function of FTI against particle formation as well as the Ras pathway utilized by the virus [392]. Other CXXX-boxes were found in the polymerase of hepatitis A virus and the virus causing foot and mouth disease, where prenylation may mediate membrane associated RNA replication [393].

Another alternative application for FTIs is the use against fungal and protozoan pathogens. Although prenylation is also present in some of these organisms, not all of them show the full spectrum of prenylation activities observed in eukaryotes. While Trypanosoma cruzi, which causes the Chagas disease, completely lacks GGT I activity [394, 395], others are at least less able to compensate for FT inhibition than mammals. Consequently, Plasmodium falciparium (malaria) [396, 397], Trypanosoma brucei (African sleeping sickness) [395, 398], and Candida albicans (candidiasis) [399] showed increased sensitivity against FTI treatment. Positive effects were also achieved for Leishmania parasites (leishmaniasis) [395], Toxoplasma gondi (toxoplasmosis) [400], and Schistosoma mansoni (schistosomiasis) [401].

In search for more targets, database searches revealed additional 11 animal and 8 plant pathogens, suggesting possible new applications for treatment of lymphatic filiariasis (elephantiasis), onchocerciasis (river blindness), aspergillosis, pneumocystosis, amoebiasis, strongyloidiasis, trichinosis, and cryptosporidiosis [76].

#### Alternative Ways of Targeting Protein Prenylation

With FTI treatment facing the problem of alternative geranylgeranylation, other approaches allowing complete inhibition of protein prenylation gained interest. Apart from dual prenylation inhibitors blocking both FT and GGT I, the other CXXX-tail processing enzymes became new targets in anti-cancer therapy.

Deletion of the gene encoding Rce1 reduced Ras-induced malignant transformation of cells, albeit with lower efficiency than FTI treatment. However, increased sensitivity to FTIs has been reported [402], indicating influence on proteins still prenylated under inhibition of FT.

Deletion of the gene for isoprenylcysteine carboxyl methyltransferase (ICMT) showed more effectivity, permitting complete blocking of transformation by oncogenic K-Ras [403].

For inhibition of Rce1, mainly prenylated CXXX-peptides are in use. Since chloromethylketone-inhibitors showed effects against Rce1 [402, 404], prenylcysteine-chloromethylketone derivatives have been designed.

Inhibition of ICMT can be reached both by substrate and product analogues. S-Adenosylhomocysteine is the product and, therefore, a competitive inhibitor of various methyltransferases [405–407]. Its effects, therefore, exceed inhibition of methylation of prenylcysteines [408]. Reported functions are growth inhibition of tumor cells and antiangiogenetic effects. By reducing proliferation of endothelial cells and increasing their apoptosis [409], the supply of new blood vessels for the tumor is reduced. The second class of ICMT-inhibitors are prenylcysteine derivatives [410]. N-Acetyl-S-farnesyl-L-cysteine (AFC) [411] and N-acetyl-Sgeranylgeranyl-L-cysteine (AGGC) are competitive inhibitors for methylation of CXXX-proteins. Again, they also exhibit other effects independent of ICMT [147, 412–415] like dominant inhibition of prenylcysteine-dependent protein interactions. Treatment with these compounds resulted in reduced methylation and mislocalization of Ras. Surprisingly, the same effect was not observed in cell lines with a deletion mutant in the gene corresponding to ICMT, indicating adaptation of cells to sustained loss of ICMT.

Since the structure of prenyl binding pockets is very specific, it may be possible to design S-prenyl analogues blocking the action of certain prenylated proteins [416]. The attempt to fight cancer by dislodging Ras proteins from membranes with FTS is a very similar idea [417].

Contrary to the approaches mentioned above, other strategies try to intervene with isoprenoid synthesis. The lowest specificity is exhibited by the statins, which are inhibitors of the enzyme HMG-CoA reductase, thus operating by depletion of the cellular mevalonate pool [418]. They were initially designed to lower cholesterol synthesis, but unavoidably also block protein prenylation. Treatment with statins resulted in cell cycle arrest at the  $G_1/S$  phase checkpoint [419, 420] and induction of apoptosis in sensitive tumor cells by cytochrome c release and subsequent caspase-3 activation [349, 421]. Reversal of the effect was only achieved by addition of GGPP, indicating that the anti-cancer activity of statins is due to critical geranylgeranylated proteins [285, 422, 423], but the definite targets are unknown. Influence of cellular cholesterol on cell survival has also been proposed, giving another explanation for the action of statins [424]. Enhancement of the antiproliferative activity of standard therapeutics is a promising side effect [425, 426].

Similar effects were found for a class of compounds actually designed as antiosteoporosis drugs called nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates (NCBPs). They turned out to be specific inhibitors of the enzyme FPP synthase [427].

In conclusion, much effort is invested in the search for alternative ways to target protein prenylation in cancer therapy. Most of these approaches are still in the preliminary, preclinical phase but they promise innovative applications in the future.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for financial support from Boehringer Ingelheim. This project has been partly funded by the Austrian Gen-AU bioinformatics integration network (BIN) sponsored by BM-BWK (grant to F.E.). The authors thank *Michaela Sammer* for carefully reading and correcting the manuscript.

#### References

- [1] Morello JP, Bouvier M (1996) Biochem Cell Biol 4: 449
- [2] Dunphy JT, Linder ME (1998) Biochim Biophys Acta 1–2: 245
- [3] Chow M, Der CJ, Buss JE (1992) Curr Opin Cell Biol 4: 629
- [4] Gordon JI, Duronio RJ, Rudnick DA, Adams SP, Gokel GW (1991) J Biol Chem 14: 8647
- [5] Resh MD (1999) Biochim Biophys Acta 1: 1
- [6] Maurer-Stroh S, Eisenhaber B, Eisenhaber F (2002) J Mol Biol 4: 523
- [7] Maurer-Stroh S, Eisenhaber F (2004) Trends Microbiol 4: 178
- [8] Maurer-Stroh S, Gouda M, Novatchkova M, Schleiffer A, Schneider G, Sirota FL, Wildpaner M, Hayashi N, Eisenhaber F (2004) Genome Biol 3: R21
- [9] Takeda J, Kinoshita T (1995) Trends Biochem Sci 9: 367
- [10] Chatterjee S, Mayor S (2001) Cell Mol Life Sci 14: 1969
- [11] Eisenhaber B, Bork P, Eisenhaber F (2001) Protein Eng 1: 17
- [12] Eisenhaber B, Wildpaner M, Schultz CJ, Borner GH, Dupree P, Eisenhaber F (2003) Plant Physiol 4: 1691
- [13] Eisenhaber B, Maurer-Stroh S, Novatchkova M, Schneider G, Eisenhaber F (2003) Bioessays 4: 367
- [14] Eisenhaber B, Schneider G, Wildpaner M, Eisenhaber F (2004) J Mol Biol 2: 243
- [15] Glomset JA, Gelb MH, Farnsworth CC (1990) Trends Biochem Sci 4: 139
- [16] Epstein WW, Lever D, Leining LM, Bruenger E, Rilling HC (1991) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 21: 9668
- [17] Kamiya Y, Sakurai A, Tamura S, Takahashi N (1978) Biochem Biophys Res Commun 3: 1077
- [18] Quesney-Huneeus V, Wiley MH, Siperstein MD (1979) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 10: 5056
- [19] Schmidt RA, Glomset JA, Wight TN, Habenicht AJ, Ross R (1982) J Cell Biol 1: 144
- [20] Schmidt RA, Schneider CJ, Glomset JA (1984) J Biol Chem 16: 10175
- [21] Sinensky M, Logel J (1985) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 10: 3257
- [22] Maltese WA (1990) FASEB J 15: 3319
- [23] Maltese WA, Sheridan KM (1987) J Cell Physiol 3: 471

- [24] Sepp-Lorenzino L, Azrolan N, Coleman PS (1989) FEBS Lett 1–2: 110
- [25] Powers S, Michaelis S, Broek D, Santa AS, Field J, Herskowitz I, Wigler M (1986) Cell 3: 413
- [26] Swanson RJ, Applebury ML (1983) J Biol Chem 17: 10599
- [27] Chelsky D, Olson JF, Koshland DE Jr (1987) J Biol Chem 9: 4303
- [28] Clarke S (1992) Annu Rev Biochem 355
- [29] Clarke S, Vogel JP, Deschenes RJ, Stock J (1988) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 13: 4643
- [30] Anderegg RJ, Betz R, Carr SA, Crabb JW, Duntze W (1988) J Biol Chem 34: 18236
- [31] Stimmel JB, Deschenes RJ, Volker C, Stock J, Clarke S (1990) Biochemistry 41: 9651
- [32] Hancock JF, Magee AI, Childs JE, Marshall CJ (1989) Cell 7: 1167
- [33] Treston AM, Mulshine JL (1989) Nature 6206: 406
- [34] Beck LA, Hosick TJ, Sinensky M (1988) J Cell Biol 4: 1307
- [35] Vorburger K, Kitten GT, Nigg EA (1989) EMBO J 13: 4007
- [36] Wolda SL, Glomset JA (1988) J Biol Chem 13: 5997
- [37] Maltese WA, Erdman RA (1989) J Biol Chem 30: 18168
- [38] Rilling HC, Breunger E, Epstein WW, Crain PF (1990) Science 4940: 318
- [39] Farnsworth CC, Gelb MH, Glomset JA (1990) Science 4940: 320
- [40] Casey PJ, Solski PA, Der CJ, Buss JE (1989) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 21: 8323
- [41] Farnsworth CC, Wolda SL, Gelb MH, Glomset JA (1989) J Biol Chem 34: 20422
- [42] Casey PJ, Thissen JA, Moomaw JF (1991) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 19: 8631
- [43] Yamane HK, Farnsworth CC, Xie HY, Howald W, Fung BK, Clarke S, Gelb MH, Glomset JA (1990) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 15: 5868
- [44] Mumby SM, Casey PJ, Gilman AG, Gutowski S, Sternweis PC (1990) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 15: 5873
- [45] Kato K, Cox AD, Hisaka MM, Graham SM, Buss JE, Der CJ (1992) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 14: 6403
- [46] Schafer WR, Kim R, Sterne R, Thorner J, Kim SH, Rine J (1989) Science 4916: 379
- [47] Jackson JH, Cochrane CG, Bourne JR, Solski PA, Buss JE, Der CJ (1990) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 8: 3042
- [48] Cox AD, Der CJ (1992) Crit Rev Oncog 4: 365
- [49] Der CJ, Cox AD (1991) Cancer Cells 9: 331
- [50] Ashar HR, Armstrong L, James LJ, Carr DM, Gray K, Taveras A, Doll RJ, Bishop WR, Kirschmeier PT (2000) Chem Res Toxicol 10: 949
- [51] Goldstein JL, Brown MS (1990) Nature 6257: 425
- [52] Long SB, Casey PJ, Beese LS (1998) Biochemistry 27: 9612
- [53] Long SB, Hancock PJ, Kral AM, Hellinga HW, Beese LS (2001) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 23: 12948
- [54] Pylypenko O, Rak A, Reents R, Niculae A, Sidorovitch V, Cioaca MD, Bessolitsyna E, Thoma NH, Waldmann H, Schlichting I, Goody RS, Alexandrov K (2003) Mol Cell 2: 483
- [55] Taylor JS, Reid TS, Terry KL, Casey PJ, Beese LS (2003) EMBO J 22: 5963
- [56] Maurer-Stroh S, Eisenhaber F (2005) Genome Biol 6: R55
- [57] Yokoyama K, Goodwin GW, Ghomashchi F, Glomset JA, Gelb MH (1991) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 12: 5302
- [58] Reiss Y, Stradley SJ, Gierasch LM, Brown MS, Goldstein JL (1991) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 3: 732
- [59] Caplin BE, Hettich LA, Marshall MS (1994) Biochim Biophys Acta 1: 39
- [60] Cox AD, Hisaka MM, Buss JE, Der CJ (1992) Mol Cell Biol 6: 2606
- [61] Goldstein JL, Brown MS, Stradley SJ, Reiss Y, Gierasch LM (1991) J Biol Chem 24: 15575
- [62] James GL, Goldstein JL, Brown MS (1995) J Biol Chem 11: 6221
- [63] Whyte DB, Kirschmeier P, Hockenberry TN, Nunez-Oliva I, James L, Catino JJ, Bishop WR, Pai JK (1997) J Biol Chem 22: 14459
- [64] Seabra MC, Goldstein JL, Sudhof TC, Brown MS (1992) J Biol Chem 20: 14497
- [65] Farnsworth CC, Seabra MC, Ericsson LH, Gelb MH, Glomset JA (1994) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 25: 11963
- [66] Horiuchi H, Kawata M, Katayama M, Yoshida Y, Musha T, Ando S, Takai Y (1991) J Biol Chem 26: 16981
- [67] Farnsworth CC, Kawata M, Yoshida Y, Takai Y, Gelb MH, Glomset JA (1991) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 14: 6196
- [68] Moores SL, Schaber MD, Mosser SD, Rands E, O'Hara MB, Garsky VM, Marshall MS, Pompliano DL, Gibbs JB (1991) J Biol Chem 22: 14603
- [69] Kinsella BT, Maltese WA (1992) J Biol Chem 6: 3940
- [70] Khosravi-Far R, Clark GJ, Abe K, Cox AD, McLain T, Lutz RJ, Sinensky M, Der CJ (1992) J Biol Chem 34: 24363
- [71] Hancock JF (1995) Methods Enzymol 60
- [72] Wang DA, Sebti SM (2005) J Biol Chem 19: 19243
- [73] Wilson AL, Maltese WA (1995) Methods Enzymol 79
- [74] Benetka W, Koranda M, Maurer-Stroh S, Pittner F, Eisenhaber F (2006) BMC Biochem 1: 6
- [75] Maurer-Stroh S, Washietl S, Eisenhaber F (2003) Genome Biol 4: 212
- [76] Maurer-Stroh S, Washietl S, Eisenhaber F (2003) Biol Chem 7: 977
- [77] Andres DA, Milatovich A, Ozcelik T, Wenzlau JM, Brown MS, Goldstein JL, Francke U (1993) Genomics 1: 105
- [78] Armstrong SA, Seabra MC, Sudhof TC, Goldstein JL, Brown MS (1993) J Biol Chem 16: 12221
- [79] Casey PJ, Seabra MC (1996) J Biol Chem 10: 5289
- [80] Reiss Y, Seabra MC, Armstrong SA, Slaughter CA, Goldstein JL, Brown MS (1991) J Biol Chem 16: 10672
- [81] Seabra MC, Reiss Y, Casey PJ, Brown MS, Goldstein JL (1991) Cell 3: 429
- [82] Moomaw JF, Casey PJ (1992) J Biol Chem 24: 17438
- [83] Zhang FL, Diehl RE, Kohl NE, Gibbs JB, Giros B, Casey PJ, Omer CA (1994) J Biol Chem 5: 3175
- [84] Reiss Y, Goldstein JL, Seabra MC, Casey PJ, Brown MS (1990) Cell 1: 81
- [85] Yokoyama K, Gelb MH (1993) J Biol Chem 6: 4055
- [86] Zhang FL, Moomaw JF, Casey PJ (1994) J Biol Chem 38: 23465
- [87] Reid TS, Terry KL, Casey PJ, Beese LS (2004) J Mol Biol 2: 417
- [88] Reiss Y, Brown MS, Goldstein JL (1992) J Biol Chem 9: 6403
- [89] Zhang FL, Casey PJ (1996) Biochem J 925
- [90] Hightower KE, Huang CC, Casey PJ, Fierke CA (1998) Biochemistry 44: 15555
- [91] Yokoyama K, McGeady P, Gelb MH (1995) Biochemistry 4: 1344
- [92] Huang CC, Casey PJ, Fierke CA (1997) J Biol Chem 1: 20
- [93] Long SB, Casey PJ, Beese LS (2002) Nature 6907: 645
- [94] Dolence JM, Poulter CD (1995) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 11: 5008
- [95] Huang C, Hightower KE, Fierke CA (2000) Biochemistry 10: 2593
- [96] Saderholm MJ, Hightower KE, Fierke CA (2000) Biochemistry 40: 12398
- [97] Park HW, Boduluri SR, Moomaw JF, Casey PJ, Beese LS (1997) Science 5307: 1800
- [98] Strickland CL, Windsor WT, Syto R, Wang L, Bond R, Wu Z, Schwartz J, Le HV, Beese LS, Weber PC (1998) Biochemistry 47: 16601
- [99] Zhang H, Grishin NV (1999) Protein Sci 8: 1658
- [100] Fu HW, Moomaw JF, Moomaw CR, Casey PJ (1996) J Biol Chem 45: 28541
- [101] Kral AM, Diehl RE, deSolms SJ, Williams TM, Kohl NE, Omer CA (1997) J Biol Chem 43: 27319
- [102] Furfine ES, Leban JJ, Landavazo A, Moomaw JF, Casey PJ (1995) Biochemistry 20: 6857
- [103] Andres DA, Goldstein JL, Ho YK, Brown MS (1993) J Biol Chem 2: 1383
- [104] Yokoyama K, Zimmerman K, Scholten J, Gelb MH (1997) J Biol Chem 7: 3944
- [105] Long SB, Casey PJ, Beese LS (2000) Structure Fold Des 2: 209
- [106] Roskoski R Jr, Ritchie P (1998) Arch Biochem Biophys 2: 167
- [107] Collins SP, Reoma JL, Gamm DM, Uhler MD (2000) Biochem J 673
- [108] Pompliano DL, Schaber MD, Mosser SD, Omer CA, Shafer JA, Gibbs JB (1993) Biochemistry 32: 8341
- [109] Dolence JM, Cassidy PB, Mathis JR, Poulter CD (1995) Biochemistry 51: 16687
- [110] Tschantz WR, Furfine ES, Casey PJ (1997) J Biol Chem 15: 9989
- [111] Stradley SJ, Rizo J, Gierasch LM (1993) Biochemistry 47: 12586
- [112] Roskoski R Jr, Ritchie PA (2001) Biochemistry 31: 9329
- [113] Andres DA, Seabra MC, Brown MS, Armstrong SA, Smeland TE, Cremers FP, Goldstein JL (1993) Cell 6: 1091
- [114] Cremers FP, van de Pol DJ, van Kerkhoff LP, Wieringa B, Ropers HH (1990) Nature 6294: 674
- [115] Cremers FP, Armstrong SA, Seabra MC, Brown MS, Goldstein JL (1994) J Biol Chem 3: 2111
- [116] Seabra MC, Brown MS, Slaughter CA, Sudhof TC, Goldstein JL (1992) Cell 6: 1049
- [117] Alexandrov K, Simon I, Yurchenko V, Iakovenko A, Rostkova E, Scheidig AJ, Goody RS (1999) Eur J Biochem 1: 160
- [118] Zhang H, Seabra MC, Deisenhofer J (2000) Structure Fold Des 3: 241
- [119] Ma YT, Rando RR (1992) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 14: 6275
- [120] Jang GF, Gelb MH (1998) Biochemistry 13: 4473
- [121] Ma YT, Gilbert BA, Rando RR (1993) Biochemistry 9: 2386
- [122] Chen Y, Ma YT, Rando RR (1996) Biochemistry 10: 3227
- [123] Pei J, Grishin NV (2001) Trends Biochem Sci 5: 275
- [124] Ma YT, Chaudhuri A, Rando RR (1992) Biochemistry 47: 11772
- [125] Otto JC, Kim E, Young SG, Casey PJ (1999) J Biol Chem 13: 8379
- [126] Schmidt WK, Tam A, Fujimura-Kamada K, Michaelis S (1998) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 19: 11175
- [127] Bergo MO, Ambroziak P, Gregory C, George A, Otto JC, Kim E, Nagase H, Casey PJ, Balmain A, Young SG (2002) Mol Cell Biol 1: 171
- [128] Kim E, Ambroziak P, Otto JC, Taylor B, Ashby M, Shannon K, Casey PJ, Young SG (1999) J Biol Chem 13: 8383
- [129] Ashby MN (1998) Curr Opin Lipidol 2: 99
- [130] Fujimura-Kamada K, Nouvet FJ, Michaelis S (1997) J Cell Biol 2: 271
- [131] Trueblood CE, Boyartchuk VL, Picologlou EA, Rozema D, Poulter CD, Rine J (2000) Mol Cell Biol 12: 4381
- [132] Boyartchuk VL, Ashby MN, Rine J (1997) Science 5307: 1796
- [133] Boyartchuk VL, Rine J (1998) Genetics 1: 95
- [134] Tam A, Nouvet FJ, Fujimura-Kamada K, Slunt H, Sisodia SS, Michaelis S (1998) J Cell Biol 3: 635
- [135] Kumagai H, Kawamura Y, Yanagisawa K, Komano H (1999) Biochim Biophys Acta 3: 468
- [136] Pillinger MH, Volker C, Stock JB, Weissmann G, Philips MR (1994) J Biol Chem 2: 1486
- [137] Stephenson RC, Clarke S (1990) J Biol Chem 27: 16248
- [138] Perez-Sala D, Gilbert BA, Tan EW, Rando RR (1992) Biochem J 835
- [139] Dai Q, Choy E, Chiu V, Romano J, Slivka SR, Steitz SA, Michaelis S, Philips MR (1998) J Biol Chem 24: 15030
- [140] Romano JD, Schmidt WK, Michaelis S (1998) Mol Biol Cell 8: 2231
- [141] Tan EW, Perez-Sala D, Canada FJ, Rando RR (1991) J Biol Chem 17: 10719
- [142] Lin X, Jung J, Kang D, Xu B, Zaret KS, Zoghbi H (2002) Gastroenterology 1: 345
- [143] Bergo MO, Leung GK, Ambroziak P, Otto JC, Casey PJ, Gomes AQ, Seabra MC, Young SG (2001) J Biol Chem 8: 5841
- [144] Xu Y, Gilbert BA, Rando RR, Chen L, Tashjian AH Jr (1996) Mol Pharmacol 6: 1495
- [145] Ratter F, Gassner C, Shatrov V, Lehmann V (1999) Int Immunol 4: 519
- [146] Perez-Sala D, Gilbert BA, Rando RR, Canada FJ (1998) FEBS Lett 3: 319
- [147] Ma YT, Shi YQ, Lim YH, McGrail SH, Ware JA, Rando RR (1994) Biochemistry 18: 5414
- [148] Smeland TE, Seabra MC, Goldstein JL, Brown MS (1994) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 22: 10712
- [149] Giner JL, Rando RR (1994) Biochemistry 50: 15116
- [150] Marcus S, Caldwell GA, Xue CB, Naider F, Becker JM (1990) Biochem Biophys Res Commun 3: 1310
- [151] Chen P, Sapperstein SK, Choi JD, Michaelis S (1997) J Cell Biol 2: 251
- [152] Adames N, Blundell K, Ashby MN, Boone C (1995) Science 5235: 464
- [153] Lutz RJ, Trujillo MA, Denham KS, Wenger L, Sinensky M (1992) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 7: 3000
- [154] Beck LA, Hosick TJ, Sinensky M (1990) J Cell Biol 5: 1489
- [155] Sinensky M, Fantle K, Trujillo M, McLain T, Kupfer A, Dalton M (1994) J Cell Sci 61
- [156] Hennekes H, Nigg EA (1994) J Cell Sci 1019
- [157] Kilic F, Johnson DA, Sinensky M (1999) FEBS Lett 1–2: 61
- [158] Kilic F, Dalton MB, Burrell SK, Mayer JP, Patterson SD, Sinensky M (1997) J Biol Chem 8: 5298
- [159] Sinensky M (2000) Biochim Biophys Acta 2–3: 93
- [160] Hancock JF, Paterson H, Marshall CJ (1990) Cell 1: 133
- [161] Adamson P, Paterson HF, Hall A (1992) J Cell Biol 3: 617
- [162] Glomset JA, Farnsworth CC (1994) Annu Rev Cell Biol 181
- [163] Shahinian S, Silvius JR (1995) Biochemistry 11: 3813
- [164] Black SD (1992) Biochem Biophys Res Commun 3: 1437
- [165] Silvius JR, l'Heureux F (1994) Biochemistry 10: 3014
- [166] Michaelson D, Ahearn I, Bergo M, Young S, Philips M (2002) Mol Biol Cell 9: 3294
- [167] Willumsen BM, Cox AD, Solski PA, Der CJ, Buss JE (1996) Oncogene 9: 1901
- [168] Hancock JF, Cadwallader K, Paterson H, Marshall CJ (1991) EMBO J 13: 4033
- [169] Gutierrez L, Magee AI (1991) Biochim Biophys Acta 2: 147
- [170] Choy E, Chiu VK, Silletti J, Feoktistov M, Morimoto T, Michaelson D, Ivanov IE, Philips MR (1999) Cell 1: 69
- [171] Prior IA, Hancock JF (2001) J Cell Sci Pt 9: 1603
- [172] Prior IA, Harding A, Yan J, Sluimer J, Parton RG, Hancock JF (2001) Nat Cell Biol 4: 368
- [173] Marshall CJ (1993) Science **5103**: 1865
- [174] Michaelson D, Silletti J, Murphy G, D'Eustachio P, Rush M, Philips MR (2001) J Cell Biol 1: 111
- [175] Pereira-Leal JB, Seabra MC (2001) J Mol Biol 4: 889
- [176] Pfeffer SR (2001) Trends Cell Biol 12: 487
- [177] Chavrier P, Gorvel JP, Stelzer E, Simons K, Gruenberg J, Zerial M (1991) Nature 6346: 769
- [178] Shen F, Seabra MC (1996) J Biol Chem 7: 3692
- [179] Soldati T, Riederer MA, Pfeffer SR (1993) Mol Biol Cell 4: 425
- [180] Ullrich O, Stenmark H, Alexandrov K, Huber LA, Kaibuchi K, Sasaki T, Takai Y, Zerial M (1993) J Biol Chem 24: 18143
- [181] Musha T, Kawata M, Takai Y (1992) J Biol Chem 14: 9821
- [182] Wilson AL, Erdman RA, Maltese WA (1996) J Biol Chem 18: 10932
- [183] Alexandrov K, Horiuchi H, Steele-Mortimer O, Seabra MC, Zerial M (1994) EMBO J 22: 5262
- [184] Dirac-Svejstrup AB, Sumizawa T, Pfeffer SR (1997) EMBO J 3: 465
- [185] Martincic I, Peralta ME, Ngsee JK (1997) J Biol Chem 43: 26991
- [186] Sivars U, Aivazian D, Pfeffer SR (2003) Nature 6960: 856
- [187] Calero M, Chen CZ, Zhu W, Winand N, Havas KA, Gilbert PM, Burd CG, Collins RN (2003) Mol Biol Cell 5: 1852

- [188] Pfeffer S, Aivazian D (2004) Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 886
- [189] Melkonian KA, Ostermeyer AG, Chen JZ, Roth MG, Brown DA (1999) J Biol Chem 6: 3910
- [190] Cox AD, Der CJ (1992) Curr Opin Cell Biol 6: 1008
- [191] Anant JS, Ong OC, Xie HY, Clarke S, O'Brien PJ, Fung BK (1992) J Biol Chem 2: 687
- [192] Armstrong SA, Hannah VC, Goldstein JL, Brown MS (1995) J Biol Chem 14: 7864
- [193] Adamson P, Marshall CJ, Hall A, Tilbrook PA (1992) J Biol Chem 28: 20033
- [194] Jian X, Clark WA, Kowalak J, Markey SP, Simonds WF, Northup JK (2001) J Biol Chem 51: 48518
- [195] Inglese J, Koch WJ, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ (1992) Nature 6391: 147
- [196] Khosravi-Far R, Cox AD, Kato K, Der CJ (1992) Cell Growth Differ 7: 461
- [197] Buss JE, Solski PA, Schaeffer JP, MacDonald MJ, Der CJ (1989) Science 4898: 1600
- [198] Luo Z, Diaz B, Marshall MS, Avruch J (1997) Mol Cell Biol 1: 46
- [199] Booden MA, Sakaguchi DS, Buss JE (2000) J Biol Chem 31: 23559
- [200] Thissen JA, Gross JM, Subramanian K, Meyer T, Casey PJ (1997) J Biol Chem 48: 30362
- [201] Marshall MS, Davis LJ, Keys RD, Mosser SD, Hill WS, Scolnick EM, Gibbs JB (1991) Mol Cell Biol 8: 3997
- [202] Kuroda Y, Suzuki N, Kataoka T (1993) Science 5095: 683
- [203] Siddiqui AA, Garland JR, Dalton MB, Sinensky M (1998) J Biol Chem 6: 3712
- [204] Elad G, Paz A, Haklai R, Marciano D, Cox A, Kloog Y (1999) Biochim Biophys Acta 3: 228
- [205] Haklai R, Weisz MG, Elad G, Paz A, Marciano D, Egozi Y, Ben Baruch G, Kloog Y (1998) Biochemistry 5: 1306
- [206] Niv H, Gutman O, Henis YI, Kloog Y (1999) J Biol Chem 3: 1606
- [207] Chiu VK, Silletti J, Dinsell V, Wiener H, Loukeris K, Ou G, Philips MR, Pillinger MH (2004) J Biol Chem 8: 7346
- [208] Desrosiers RR, Gauthier F, Lanthier J, Beliveau R (2000) J Biol Chem 20: 14949
- [209] Worman HJ, Yuan J, Blobel G, Georgatos SD (1988) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 22: 8531
- [210] Marcus S, Caldwell GA, Miller D, Xue CB, Naider F, Becker JM (1991) Mol Cell Biol 7: 3603
- [211] Dietrich A, Scheer A, Illenberger D, Kloog Y, Henis YI, Gierschik P (2003) Biochem J Pt 2: 449
- [212] Kisselev O, Ermolaeva M, Gautam N (1995) J Biol Chem 43: 25356
- [213] Maltese WA, Wilson AL, Erdman RA (1996) Biochem Soc Trans 3: 703
- [214] Rak A, Pylypenko O, Durek T, Watzke A, Kushnir S, Brunsveld L, Waldmann H, Goody RS, Alexandrov K (2003) Science 5645: 646
- [215] An Y, Shao Y, Alory C, Matteson J, Sakisaka T, Chen W, Gibbs RA, Wilson IA, Balch WE (2003) Structure (Camb ) 3: 347
- [216] Fodor E, Lee RT, O'Donnell JJ (1991) Nature 6328: 614
- [217] Wu SK, Zeng K, Wilson IA, Balch WE (1996) Trends Biochem Sci 12: 472
- [218] Schalk I, Zeng K, Wu SK, Stura EA, Matteson J, Huang M, Tandon A, Wilson IA, Balch WE (1996) Nature 6577: 42
- [219] Fukumoto Y, Kaibuchi K, Hori Y, Fujioka H, Araki S, Ueda T, Kikuchi A, Takai Y (1990) Oncogene 9: 1321
- [220] del Pozo MA, Kiosses WB, Alderson NB, Meller N, Hahn KM, Schwartz MA (2002) Nat Cell Biol 3: 232
- [221] Lin Q, Fuji RN, Yang W, Cerione RA (2003) Curr Biol 17: 1469
- [222] Keep NH, Barnes M, Barsukov I, Badii R, Lian LY, Segal AW, Moody PC, Roberts GC (1997) Structure 5: 623
- [223] Gosser YQ, Nomanbhoy TK, Aghazadeh B, Manor D, Combs C, Cerione RA, Rosen MK (1997) Nature 6635: 814
- [224] Newcombe AR, Stockley RW, Hunter JL, Webb MR (1999) Biochemistry 21: 6879
- [225] Hoffman GR, Nassar N, Cerione RA (2000) Cell 3: 345
- [226] Scheffzek K, Stephan I, Jensen ON, Illenberger D, Gierschik P (2000) Nat Struct Biol 2: 122
- [227] Nancy V, Callebaut I, El Marjou A, de Gunzburg J (2002) J Biol Chem 17: 15076
- [228] Hanzal-Bayer M, Renault L, Roversi P, Wittinghofer A, Hillig RC (2002) EMBO J 9: 2095
- [229] Gillespie PG, Prusti RK, Apel ED, Beavo JA (1989) J Biol Chem 21: 12187
- [230] Cook TA, Ghomashchi F, Gelb MH, Florio SK, Beavo JA (2000) Biochemistry 44: 13516
- [231] Florio SK, Prusti RK, Beavo JA (1996) J Biol Chem 39: 24036
- [232] Rotblat B, Niv H, Andre S, Kaltner H, Gabius HJ, Kloog Y (2004) Cancer Res 9: 3112
- [233] Paz A, Haklai R, Elad-Sfadia G, Ballan E, Kloog Y (2001) Oncogene 51: 7486
- [234] Elad-Sfadia G, Haklai R, Ballan E, Gabius HJ, Kloog Y (2002) J Biol Chem 40: 37169
- [235] Kloog Y, Cox AD (2004) Semin Cancer Biol 4: 253
- [236] Michaelson D, Ali W, Chiu VK, Bergo M, Silletti J, Wright L, Young SG, Philips M (2005) Mol Biol Cell 4: 1606
- [237] Ghomashchi F, Zhang X, Liu L, Gelb MH (1995) Biochemistry 37: 11910
- [238] Sapperstein S, Berkower C, Michaelis S (1994) Mol Cell Biol 2: 1438
- [239] Ohguro H, Fukada Y, Takao T, Shimonishi Y, Yoshizawa T, Akino T (1991) EMBO J 12: 3669
- [240] Parish CA, Smrcka AV, Rando RR (1995) Biochemistry 23: 7722
- [241] Fukada Y, Matsuda T, Kokame K, Takao T, Shimonishi Y, Akino T, Yoshizawa T (1994) J Biol Chem 7: 5163
- [242] Bergo MO, Leung GK, Ambroziak P, Otto JC, Casey PJ, Young SG (2000) J Biol Chem 23: 17605
- [243] Chen Z, Otto JC, Bergo MO, Young SG, Casey PJ (2000) J Biol Chem 52: 41251
- [244] Hancock JF, Cadwallader K, Marshall CJ (1991) EMBO J 3: 641
- [245] Maske CP, Hollinshead MS, Higbee NC, Bergo MO, Young SG, Vaux DJ (2003) J Cell Biol 7: 1223
- [246] Backlund PS Jr (1997) J Biol Chem **52**: 33175
- [247] Clarke S, Tamanoi F (2004) J Clin Invest 4: 513
- [248] Philips MR, Pillinger MH, Staud R, Volker C, Rosenfeld MG, Weissmann G, Stock JB (1993) Science 5097: 977
- [249] Tan EW, Rando RR (1992) Biochemistry 24: 5572
- [250] Choi YJ, Niedbala M, Lynch M, Symons M, Bollag G, North AK (2001) Methods Enzymol 103
- [251] Cox AD, Der CJ (1997) Biochim Biophys Acta 1: F51
- [252] Takai Y, Sasaki T, Matozaki T (2001) Physiol Rev 1: 153
- [253] Bar-Sagi D, Hall A (2000) Cell 2: 227
- [254] Shields JM, Pruitt K, McFall A, Shaub A, Der CJ (2000) Trends Cell Biol 4: 147
- [255] Downward J (1998) Curr Opin Genet Dev 1: 49
- [256] Downward J (2003) Nat Rev Cancer 1: 11
- [257] McCormick F (1995) Mol Reprod Dev 4: 500
- [258] Bos JL (1989) Cancer Res 17: 4682
- [259] Bos JL (1995) Eur J Cancer 7–8: 1051
- [260] Campbell PM, Der CJ (2004) Semin Cancer Biol 2: 105
- [261] Malumbres M, Barbacid M (2003) Nat Rev Cancer 6: 459
- [262] Hahn WC, Counter CM, Lundberg AS, Beijersbergen RL, Brooks MW, Weinberg RA (1999) Nature 6743: 464
- [263] Scheffzek K, Ahmadian MR, Kabsch W, Wiesmuller L, Lautwein A, Schmitz F, Wittinghofer A (1997) Science 5324: 333
- [264] Gibbs JB (1991) Cell 1: 1
- [265] Levitzki A (2002) Eur J Cancer S11
- [266] Schlessinger J (2000) Cell 2: 211
- [267] Gschwind A, Fischer OM, Ullrich A (2004) Nat Rev Cancer 5: 361
- [268] Ishida D, Kometani K, Yang H, Kakugawa K, Masuda K, Iwai K, Suzuki M, Itohara S, Nakahata T, Hiai H, Kawamoto H, Hattori M, Minato N (2003) Cancer Cell 1: 55

- [269] Pfeffer SR (1994) Curr Opin Cell Biol 4: 522
- [270] Novick P, Zerial M (1997) Curr Opin Cell Biol 4: 496
- [271] Pereira-Leal JB, Hume AN, Seabra MC (2001) FEBS Lett 2–3: 197
- [272] Feng L, Seymour AB, Jiang S, To A, Peden AA, Novak EK, Zhen L, Rusiniak ME, Eicher EM, Robinson MS, Gorin MB, Swank RT (1999) Hum Mol Genet 2: 323
- [273] Merry DE, Janne PA, Landers JE, Lewis RA, Nussbaum RL (1992) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 6: 2135
- [274] Seabra MC, Mules EH, Hume AN (2002) Trends Mol Med 1: 23
- [275] Hori Y, Kikuchi A, Isomura M, Katayama M, Miura Y, Fujioka H, Kaibuchi K, Takai Y (1991) Oncogene 4: 515
- [276] Allal C, Favre G, Couderc B, Salicio S, Sixou S, Hamilton AD, Sebti SM, Lajoie-Mazenc I, Pradines A (2000) J Biol Chem 40: 31001
- [277] Ridley AJ, Hall A (1992) Cell 3: 389
- [278] Ridley AJ, Paterson HF, Johnston CL, Diekmann D, Hall A (1992) Cell 3: 401
- [279] Bokoch GM, Prossnitz V (1992) J Clin Invest 2: 402
- [280] Abo A, Pick E, Hall A, Totty N, Teahan CG, Segal AW (1991) Nature 6345: 668
- [281] Knaus UG, Heyworth PG, Evans T, Curnutte JT, Bokoch GM (1991) Science 5037: 1512
- [282] Sahai E, Marshall CJ (2002) Nat Rev Cancer 2: 133
- [283] Schmitz AA, Govek EE, Bottner B, Van Aelst L (2000) Exp Cell Res 1: 1
- [284] Jaffe AB, Hall A (2002) Adv Cancer Res 57
- [285] Kusama T, Mukai M, Iwasaki T, Tatsuta M, Matsumoto Y, Akedo H, Nakamura H (2001) Cancer Res 12: 4885
- [286] Fukada Y, Takao T, Ohguro H, Yoshizawa T, Akino T, Shimonishi Y (1990) Nature 6285: 658
- [287] Maltese WA, Robishaw JD (1990) J Biol Chem 30: 18071
- [288] Schwindinger WF, Robishaw JD (2001) Oncogene 13: 1653
- [289] Heasley LE (2001) Oncogene 13: 1563
- [290] Fromm C, Coso OA, Montaner S, Xu N, Gutkind JS (1997) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 19: 10098
- [291] Daaka Y (2004) Sci STKE 216: re2
- [292] Hutchison CJ (2002) Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 848
- [293] Caldwell GA, Naider F, Becker JM (1995) Microbiol Rev 3: 406
- [294] Glenn JS, Watson JA, Havel CM, White JM (1992) Science 5061: 1331
- [295] Ashar HR, James L, Gray K, Carr D, Black S, Armstrong L, Bishop WR, Kirschmeier P (2000) J Biol Chem 39: 30451
- [296] Magee AI, Seabra MC (2003) Biochem J Pt 2: e3
- [297] Inglese J, Glickman JF, Lorenz W, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ (1992) J Biol Chem 3: 1422
- [298] Gibbs JB, Oliff A, Kohl NE (1994) Cell 2: 175
- [299] Patel DV, Young MG, Robinson SP, Hunihan L, Dean BJ, Gordon EM (1996) J Med Chem 21: 4197
- [300] Bishop WR, Bond R, Petrin J, Wang L, Patton R, Doll R, Njoroge G, Catino J, Schwartz J, Windsor W (1995) J Biol Chem 51: 30611
- [301] Kohl NE, Mosser SD, deSolms SJ, Giuliani EA, Pompliano DL, Graham SL, Smith RL, Scolnick EM, Oliff A, Gibbs JB (1993) Science 5116: 1934
- [302] James GL, Goldstein JL, Brown MS, Rawson TE, Somers TC, McDowell RS, Crowley CW, Lucas BK, Levinson AD, Marsters JC Jr (1993) Science 5116: 1937
- [303] Gibbs JB, Oliff A (1997) Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 143
- [304] Tanimoto T, Ohya S, Tsujita Y (1998) J Antibiot (Tokyo) 4: 428
- [305] Kohl NE, Omer CA, Conner MW, Anthony NJ, Davide JP, deSolms SJ, Giuliani EA, Gomez RP, Graham SL, Hamilton K (1995) Nat Med 8: 792
- [306] Cox AD, Der CJ (2002) Curr Opin Pharmacol 4: 388
- [307] Sebti SM, Hamilton AD (2000) Oncogene 56: 6584
- [308] Gelb MH, Scholten JD, Sebolt-Leopold JS (1998) Curr Opin Chem Biol 1: 40
- [309] Lobell RB, Kohl NE (1998) Cancer Metastasis Rev 2: 203
- [310] Nagasu T, Yoshimatsu K, Rowell C, Lewis MD, Garcia AM (1995) Cancer Res 22: 5310
- [311] Sun J, Qian Y, Hamilton AD, Sebti SM (1995) Cancer Res 19: 4243
- [312] Liu M, Bryant MS, Chen J, Lee S, Yaremko B, Li Z, Dell J, Lipari P, Malkowski M, Prioli N, Rossman RR, Korfmacher WA, Nomeir AA, Lin CC, Mallams AK, Doll RJ, Catino JJ, Girijavallabhan VM, Kirschmeier P, Bishop WR (1999) Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 1: 50
- [313] Liu M, Bryant MS, Chen J, Lee S, Yaremko B, Lipari P, Malkowski M, Ferrari E, Nielsen L, Prioli N, Dell J, Sinha D, Syed J, Korfmacher WA, Nomeir AA, Lin CC, Wang L, Taveras AG, Doll RJ, Njoroge FG, Mallams AK, Remiszewski S, Catino JJ, Girijavallabhan VM, Bishop WR (1998) Cancer Res 21: 4947
- [314] Norgaard P, Law B, Joseph H, Page DL, Shyr Y, Mays D, Pietenpol JA, Kohl NE, Oliff A, Coffey RJ Jr, Poulsen HS, Moses HL (1999) Clin Cancer Res 1: 35
- [315] Ganguly AK, Doll RJ, Girijavallabhan VM (2001) Curr Med Chem 12: 1419
- [316] End DW, Smets G, Todd AV, Applegate TL, Fuery CJ, Angibaud P, Venet M, Sanz G, Poignet H, Skrzat S, Devine A, Wouters W, Bowden C (2001) Cancer Res 1: 131
- [317] Rose WC, Lee FY, Fairchild CR, Lynch M, Monticello T, Kramer RA, Manne V (2001) Cancer Res 20: 7507
- [318] Sepp-Lorenzino L, Ma Z, Rands E, Kohl NE, Gibbs JB, Oliff A, Rosen N (1995) Cancer Res 22: 5302
- [319] Wang W, Macaulay RJ (1999) Int J Cancer 3: 430
- [320] Tamanoi F, Gau CL, Jiang C, Edamatsu H, Kato-Stankiewicz J (2001) Cell Mol Life Sci 11: 1636
- [321] Mijimolle N, Velasco J, Dubus P, Guerra C, Weinbaum CA, Casey PJ, Campuzano V, Barbacid M (2005) Cancer Cell 4: 313
- [322] Zhu K, Hamilton AD, Sebti SM (2003) Curr Opin Investig Drugs 12: 1428
- [323] Rowell CA, Kowalczyk JJ, Lewis MD, Garcia AM (1997) J Biol Chem 22: 14093
- [324] James G, Goldstein JL, Brown MS (1996) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 9: 4454
- [325] Mangues R, Corral T, Kohl NE, Symmans WF, Lu S, Malumbres M, Gibbs JB, Oliff A, Pellicer A (1998) Cancer Res 6: 1253
- [326] Rilling HC, Bruenger E, Leining LM, Buss JE, Epstein WW (1993) Arch Biochem Biophys 2: 210
- [327] Lutz RJ, McLain TM, Sinensky M (1992) J Biol Chem 12: 7983
- [328] Laezza C, Di MV, Bifulco M (1998) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 23: 13646
- [329] Sun J, Qian Y, Hamilton AD, Sebti SM (1998) Oncogene 11: 1467
- [330] Peters DG, Hoover RR, Gerlach MJ, Koh EY, Zhang H, Choe K, Kirschmeier P, Bishop WR, Daley GQ (2001) Blood 5: 1404
- [331] Bollag G, Freeman S, Lyons JF, Post LE (2003) Curr Opin Investig Drugs 12: 1436
- [332] Del Villar K, Urano J, Guo L, Tamanoi F (1999) J Biol Chem 38: 27010
- [333] Miquel K, Pradines A, Sun J, Qian Y, Hamilton AD, Sebti SM, Favre G (1997) Cancer Res 10: 1846
- [334] Sun J, Blaskovich MA, Knowles D, Qian Y, Ohkanda J, Bailey RD, Hamilton AD, Sebti SM (1999) Cancer Res 19: 4919
- [335] Lobell RB, Omer CA, Abrams MT, Bhimnathwala HG, Brucker MJ, Buser CA, Davide JP, deSolms SJ, Dinsmore CJ, Ellis-Hutchings MS, Kral AM, Liu D, Lumma WC, Machotka SV, Rands E, Williams TM, Graham SL, Hartman GD, Oliff AI, Heimbrook DC, Kohl NE (2001) Cancer Res 24: 8758
- [336] Appels NM, Beijnen JH, Schellens JH (2005) Oncologist 8: 565
- [337] Prendergast GC (2000) Curr Opin Cell Biol 2: 166
- [338] Prendergast GC, Rane N (2001) Expert Opin Investig Drugs 12: 2105
- [339] Prendergast GC (2001) Nat Rev Cancer 2: 162

- [340] Lebowitz PF, Casey PJ, Prendergast GC, Thissen JA (1997) J Biol Chem 25: 15591
- [341] Du W, Lebowitz PF, Prendergast GC (1999) Mol Cell Biol 3: 1831
- [342] Du W, Prendergast GC (1999) Cancer Res 21: 5492
- [343] Liu A, Du W, Liu JP, Jessell TM, Prendergast GC (2000) Mol Cell Biol 16: 6105
- [344] Chen Z, Sun J, Pradines A, Favre G, Adnane J, Sebti SM (2000) J Biol Chem 24: 17974
- [345] Fritz G, Kaina B, Aktories K (1995) J Biol Chem 42: 25172
- [346] Adnane J, Muro-Cacho C, Mathews L, Sebti SM, Munoz-Antonia T (2002) Clin Cancer Res 7: 2225
- [347] Ashar HR, James L, Gray K, Carr D, McGuirk M, Maxwell E, Black S, Armstrong L, Doll RJ, Taveras AG, Bishop WR, Kirschmeier P (2001) Exp Cell Res 1: 17
- [348] Moasser MM, Rosen N (2002) Breast Cancer Res Treat 2: 135
- [349] Vitale M, Di Matola T, Rossi G, Laezza C, Fenzi G, Bifulco M (1999) Endocrinology 2: 698
- [350] Barrington RE, Subler MA, Rands E, Omer CA, Miller PJ, Hundley JE, Koester SK, Troyer DA, Bearss DJ, Conner MW, Gibbs JB, Hamilton K, Koblan KS, Mosser SD, O'Neill TJ, Schaber MD, Senderak ET, Windle JJ, Oliff A, Kohl NE (1998) Mol Cell Biol 1: 85
- [351] Kim KW, Chung HH, Chung CW, Kim IK, Miura M, Wang S, Zhu H, Moon KD, Rha GB, Park JH, Jo DG, Woo HN, Song YH, Kim BJ, Yuan J, Jung YK (2001) Oncogene 3: 358
- [352] Jiang K, Coppola D, Crespo NC, Nicosia SV, Hamilton AD, Sebti SM, Cheng JQ (2000) Mol Cell Biol 1: 139
- [353] Lackner MR, Kindt RM, Carroll PM, Brown K, Cancilla MR, Chen C, de Silva H, Franke Y, Guan B, Heuer T, Hung T, Keegan K, Lee JM, Manne V, O'Brien C, Parry D, Perez-Villar JJ, Reddy RK, Xiao H, Zhan H, Cockett M, Plowman G, Fitzgerald K, Costa M, Ross-Macdonald P (2005) Cancer Cell 4: 325
- [354] Mazieres J, Pradines A, Favre G (2004) Cancer Lett 2: 159
- [355] Doll RJ, Kirschmeier P, Bishop WR (2004) Curr Opin Drug Discov Devel 4: 478
- [356] Brunner TB, Hahn SM, Gupta AK, Muschel RJ, McKenna WG, Bernhard EJ (2003) Cancer Res 18: 5656
- [357] Crul M, de Klerk GJ, Swart M, van't Veer LJ, de Jong D, Boerrigter L, Palmer PA, Bol CJ, Tan H, de Gast GC, Beijnen JH, Schellens JH (2002) J Clin Oncol 11: 2726
- [358] Zujewski J, Horak ID, Bol CJ, Woestenborghs R, Bowden C, End DW, Piotrovsky VK, Chiao J, Belly RT, Todd A, Kopp WC, Kohler DR, Chow C, Noone M, Hakim FT, Larkin G, Gress RE, Nussenblatt RB, Kremer AB, Cowan KH (2000) J Clin Oncol 4: 927
- [359] Punt CJ, van Maanen L, Bol CJ, Seifert WF, Wagener DJ (2001) Anticancer Drugs 3: 193
- [360] Karp JE, Lancet JE, Kaufmann SH, End DW, Wright JJ, Bol K, Horak I, Tidwell ML, Liesveld J, Kottke TJ, Ange D, Buddharaju L, Gojo I, Highsmith WE, Belly RT, Hohl RJ, Rybak ME, Thibault A, Rosenblatt J (2001) Blood 11: 3361
- [361] Johnston SR, Hickish T, Ellis P, Houston S, Kelland L, Dowsett M, Salter J, Michiels B, Perez-Ruixo JJ, Palmer P, Howes A (2003) J Clin Oncol 13: 2492
- [362] Heymach JV, Johnson DH, Khuri FR, Safran H, Schlabach LL, Yunus F, DeVore RF III, De Porre PM, Richards HM, Jia X, Zhang S, Johnson BE (2004) Ann Oncol 8: 1187
- [363] Kurzrock R, Cortes J, Kantarjian H (2002) Semin Hematol 4 [Suppl 3]: 20
- [364] Alsina M, Fonseca R, Wilson EF, Belle AN, Gerbino E, Price-Troska T, Overton RM, Ahmann G, Bruzek LM, Adjei AA, Kaufmann SH, Wright JJ, Sullivan D, Djulbegovic B, Cantor AB, Greipp PR, Dalton WS, Sebti SM (2004) Blood 9: 3271
- [365] Adjei AA, Erlichman C, Davis JN, Cutler DL, Sloan JA, Marks RS, Hanson LJ, Svingen PA, Atherton P, Bishop WR, Kirschmeier P, Kaufmann SH (2000) Cancer Res 7: 1871
- [366] Eskens FA, Awada A, Cutler DL, de Jonge MJ, Luyten GP, Faber MN, Statkevich P, Sparreboom A, Verweij J, Hanauske AR, Piccart M (2001) J Clin Oncol 4: 1167
- [367] Sharma S, Kemeny N, Kelsen DP, Ilson D, O'Reilly E, Zaknoen S, Baum C, Statkevich P, Hollywood E, Zhu Y, Saltz LB (2002) Ann Oncol 7: 1067
- [368] Morgan MA, Ganser A, Reuter CW (2003) Leukemia 8: 1482
- [369] Ryan DP, Eder JP Jr, Puchlaski T, Seiden MV, Lynch TJ, Fuchs CS, Amrein PC, Sonnichsen D, Supko JG, Clark JW (2004) Clin Cancer Res 7: 2222
- [370] Tabernero J, Rojo F, Marimon I, Voi M, Albanell J, Guix M, Vazquez F, Carulla J, Cooper M, Andreu J, Van Vreckem A, Bellmunt J, Manne V, Manning JA, Garrido C, Felip E, Del Campo JM, Garcia M, Valverde S, Baselga J (2005) J Clin Oncol 11: 2521
- [371] Cortes J, Faderl S, Estey E, Kurzrock R, Thomas D, Beran M, Garcia-Manero G, Ferrajoli A, Giles F, Koller C, O'Brien S, Wright J, Bai SA, Kantarjian H (2005) J Clin Oncol 12: 2805
- [372] Huber HE, Robinson RG, Watkins A, Nahas DD, Abrams MT, Buser CA, Lobell RB, Patrick D, Anthony NJ, Dinsmore CJ, Graham SL, Hartman GD, Lumma WC, Williams TM, Heimbrook DC (2001) J Biol Chem 27: 24457
- [373] Lobell RB, Liu D, Buser CA, Davide JP, DePuy E, Hamilton K, Koblan KS, Lee Y, Mosser S, Motzel SL, Abbruzzese JL, Fuchs CS, Rowinsky EK, Rubin EH, Sharma S, Deutsch PJ, Mazina KE, Morrison BW, Wildonger L, Yao SL, Kohl NE (2002) Mol Cancer Ther 9: 747
- [374] Buser CA, Dinsmore CJ, Fernandes C, Greenberg I, Hamilton K, Mosser SD, Walsh ES, Williams TM, Koblan KS (2001) Anal Biochem 1: 126
- [375] Britten CD, Rowinsky EK, Soignet S, Patnaik A, Yao SL, Deutsch P, Lee Y, Lobell RB, Mazina KE, McCreery H, Pezzuli S, Spriggs D (2001) Clin Cancer Res 12: 3894
- [376] Hahn SM, Bernhard EJ, Regine W, Mohiuddin M, Haller DG, Stevenson JP, Smith D, Pramanik B, Tepper J, DeLaney TF, Kiel KD, Morrison B, Deutsch P, Muschel RJ, McKenna WG (2002) Clin Cancer Res 5: 1065
- [377] Gotlib J (2005) Curr Hematol Rep 1: 77
- [378] Caponigro F (2002) Anticancer Drugs 8: 891
- [379] Adjei AA, Davis JN, Bruzek LM, Erlichman C, Kaufmann SH (2001) Clin Cancer Res 5: 1438
- [380] de Bono JS, Tolcher AW, Rowinsky EK (2003) Semin Oncol 5 [Suppl 16]: 79
- [381] Moasser MM, Sepp-Lorenzino L, Kohl NE, Oliff A, Balog A, Su DS, Danishefsky SJ, Rosen N (1998) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 4: 1369
- [382] Shi B, Yaremko B, Hajian G, Terracina G, Bishop WR, Liu M, Nielsen LL (2000) Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 5: 387
- [383] Adjei AA, Croghan GA, Erlichman C, Marks RS, Reid JM, Sloan JA, Pitot HC, Alberts SR, Goldberg RM, Hanson LJ, Bruzek LM, Atherton P, Thibault A, Palmer PA, Kaufmann SH (2003) Clin Cancer Res 7: 2520
- [384] Taylor JM (2003) Trends Microbiol 4: 185
- [385] Hwang SB, Lee CZ, Lai MM (1992) Virology 1: 413
- [386] Otto JC, Casey PJ (1996) J Biol Chem 9: 4569
- [387] Chang FL, Chen PJ, Tu SJ, Wang CJ, Chen DS (1991) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 19: 8490
- [388] Glenn JS, Marsters JC Jr, Greenberg HB (1998) J Virol 11: 9303
- [389] Bordier BB, Marion PL, Ohashi K, Kay MA, Greenberg HB, Casey JL, Glenn JS (2002) J Virol 20: 10465
- [390] Bordier BB, Ohkanda J, Liu P, Lee SY, Salazar FH, Marion PL, Ohashi K, Meuse L, Kay MA, Casey JL, Sebti SM, Hamilton AD, Glenn JS (2003) J Clin Invest 3: 407
- [391] McGeoch DJ, Dalrymple MA, Davison AJ, Dolan A, Frame MC, McNab D, Perry LJ, Scott JE, Taylor P (1988) J Gen Virol 1531
- [392] Farassati F, Yang AD, Lee PW (2001) Nat Cell Biol 8: 745
- [393] Einav S, Glenn JS (2003) J Antimicrob Chemother 6: 883
- [394] Nepomuceno-Silva JL, Yokoyama K, de Mello LD, Mendonca SM, Paixao JC, Baron R, Faye JC, Buckner FS, Van Voorhis WC, Gelb MH, Lopes UG (2001) J Biol Chem 32: 29711
- [395] Yokoyama K, Trobridge P, Buckner FS, Scholten J, Stuart KD, Van Voorhis WC, Gelb MH (1998) Mol Biochem Parasitol 1: 87

- [396] Ohkanda J, Lockman JW, Yokoyama K, Gelb MH, Croft SL, Kendrick H, Harrell MI, Feagin JE, Blaskovich MA, Sebti SM, Hamilton AD (2001) Bioorg Med Chem Lett 6: 761
- [397] Chakrabarti D, Da Silva T, Barger J, Paquette S, Patel H, Patterson S, Allen CM (2002) J Biol Chem 44: 42066
- [398] Yokoyama K, Trobridge P, Buckner FS, Van Voorhis WC, Stuart KD, Gelb MH (1998) J Biol Chem 41: 26497
- [399] McGeady P, Logan DA, Wansley DL (2002) FEMS Microbiol Lett 1: 41
- [400] Ibrahim M, Azzouz N, Gerold P, Schwarz RT (2001) Int J Parasitol 13: 1489
- [401] Osman A, Niles EG, LoVerde PT (1999) Mol Biochem Parasitol 1: 27
- [402] Chen Y (1998) Cancer Lett 2: 191
- [403] Bergo MO, Gavino BJ, Hong C, Beigneux AP, McMahon M, Casey PJ, Young SG (2004) J Clin Invest 4: 539
- [404] Chen Y (1999) Ann N Y Acad Sci 103
- [405] Shi YQ, Rando RR (1992) J Biol Chem 14: 9547
- [406] Winter-Vann AM, Kamen BA, Bergo MO, Young SG, Melnyk S, James SJ, Casey PJ (2003) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 11: 6529
- [407] Wang H, Yoshizumi M, Lai K, Tsai JC, Perrella MA, Haber E, Lee ME (1997) J Biol Chem 40: 25380
- [408] Hoffman DR, Cornatzer WE, Duerre JA (1979) Can J Biochem 1: 56
- [409] Kramer K, Harrington EO, Lu Q, Bellas R, Newton J, Sheahan KL, Rounds S (2003) Mol Biol Cell 3: 848
- [410] Rando RR (1996) Biochim Biophys Acta 1: 5
- [411] Volker C, Miller RA, McCleary WR, Rao A, Poenie M, Backer JM, Stock JB (1991) J Biol Chem 32: 21515
- [412] Marciano D, Ben Baruch G, Marom M, Egozi Y, Haklai R, Kloog Y (1995) J Med Chem 8: 1267
- [413] Scheer A, Gierschik P (1993) FEBS Lett 1–2: 110
- [414] Ding J, Lu DJ, Perez-Sala D, Ma YT, Maddox JF, Gilbert BA, Badwey JA, Rando RR (1994) J Biol Chem 24: 16837
- [415] Marom M, Haklai R, Ben Baruch G, Marciano D, Egozi Y, Kloog Y (1995) J Biol Chem 38: 22263
- [416] Aharonson Z, Gana-Weisz M, Varsano T, Haklai R, Marciano D, Kloog Y (1998) Biochim Biophys Acta 1: 40
- [417] Jansen B, Schlagbauer-Wadl H, Kahr H, Heere-Ress E, Mayer BX, Eichler H, Pehamberger H, Gana-Weisz M, Ben David E, Kloog Y, Wolff K (1999) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 24: 14019
- [418] Chan KK, Oza AM, Siu LL (2003) Clin Cancer Res 1: 10
- [419] Jakobisiak M, Bruno S, Skierski JS, Darzynkiewicz Z (1991) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 9: 3628
- [420] Keyomarsi K, Sandoval L, Band V, Pardee AB (1991) Cancer Res 13: 3602
- [421] Wong WW, Dimitroulakos J, Minden MD, Penn LZ (2002) Leukemia 4: 508
- [422] Marcelli M, Cunningham GR, Haidacher SJ, Padayatty SJ, Sturgis L, Kagan C, Denner L (1998) Cancer Res 1: 76
- [423] Xia Z, Tan MM, Wong WW, Dimitroulakos J, Minden MD, Penn LZ (2001) Leukemia 9: 1398
- [424] Li HY, Appelbaum FR, Willman CL, Zager RA, Banker DE (2003) Blood 9: 3628
- [425] Lishner M, Bar-Sef A, Elis A, Fabian I (2001) J Investig Med 4: 319
- [426] Stirewalt DL, Appelbaum FR, Willman CL, Zager RA, Banker DE (2003) Leuk Res 2: 133
- [427] van Beek E, Pieterman E, Cohen L, Lowik C, Papapoulos S (1999) Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1: 108
- [428] Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K (1996) J Mol Graph 1: 33
- [429] Liang PH, Ko TP, Wang AH (2002) Eur J Biochem 14: 3339
- [430] Roskoski R Jr (2003) Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1: 1